International Journal of Research and Technology (IJRT)
International Open-Access, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Online Journal
ISSN (Print): 2321-7510 | ISSN (Online): 2321-7529
Conference "Innovation and Intelligence: A Multidisciplinary Research on Artificial Intelligence and its Contribution to Commerce and Beyond"
Organized by the IQAC, KHMW College of Commerce (December 2025)

AI-Powered Personalization Mechanisms and Their Role in Enhancing Social Media User Experience

Miss. Khan Bushra Shafique
Course: M.com (Master of Commerce)
K.H.M.W College of Commerce

Abstract
Personalization features powered by artificial intelligence, such as recommendation systems and the content ranking tools, are important parts of the today's social media platforms. These features help manage big amounts of content, show which users what is most relevant to them, and influence how they experience the platform. This paper offers a more information's analysis of existing research, industry reports, and regulatory studies to understand how AI-based personalization affects user interaction and their overall experience on social media. The paper has four main parts: first, it explains what personalization mechanisms are and their role in finding content, directing user attention, and supporting the platform's financial model. Second, it reviews recent studies on how personalization impacts user involvement, long-term use, and attention patterns. Third, it looks at the challenges that come with higher engagement, such as creating echo chambers, harming privacy and negatively affecting mental health. Fourth, it suggests a research-based, ethical way to create personalization systems that consider user experience, content variety, and safety. The findings show that AI personalization tends to boost temporary activity and time spent on the platform, but might reduce the variety of details users see and raise concerns about privacy and well-being. The paper ends with advice for researchers and platform creators and includes the list of datasets and sources for further study.

Keywords: AI personalization, recommender systems, social media, user engagement, filter bubble, secondary data analysis, ethics

1. Introduction

Social media sites use a lot of AI to tailor what people see, like ads, and, post and movies get suggested content. These systems look at how users act, such as what they like, click and tap on, or watch, to figure out their likes and show which them things that might interest them. The people who design these platforms say that personalizing content makes it easier for everyone to find stuff they care about, especially when there is a lot of details around. This is supposed to help users stay big on the platform and make the platform more useful. However, research shows which that these personalized systems can make people engage more, like liking and more thinking more or coming back often. But there are also worries about things like getting too narrow in what they see, privacy issues, and how it might affect mental health. This study brings together recent research to give a fair and well-supported look at how AI personalization affects the experience of using social media.

International Journal of Research and Technology (IJRT)
International Open-Access, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Online Journal
ISSN (Print): 2321-7510 | ISSN (Online): 2321-7529
Conference "Innovation and Intelligence: A Multidisciplinary Research on Artificial Intelligence and its Contribution to Commerce and Beyond"
Organized by the IQAC, KHMW College of Commerce (December 2025)

2. Terminology and Scope

AI-powered personalization mechanisms: In this paper, we include the some change (a) recommender systems like collaborative, content-based, (b) feed-ranking algorithms such as learning-to-rank and deep learning ranking, and (c) contextual personalization that takes into account time, device, or situation. These methods use different types of learning like supervised, unsupervised, and learning to what product a consumer is likely to be interested in. User engagement: This is measured through common secondary metrics such as session length, click-through rate, likes, shares, comments, and the number of daily, weekly, or monthly active users.

Along with retention rates.

Secondary data analysis: We combine findings from articles, systematic reviews, industry reports, and regulatory studies published between 2018 and 2025 .

The paper does not present new experiments but instead collects and analyses existing quantitative and qualitative data.

3. Role (expanded): How Personalization Mechanisms Function and Why They Matter

Below, we break down the concept of "Role" into its main parts that relate to how it works and how it impacts people and systems:

1: Relevant Content Discovery (R): This part helps find content that is more likely to be useful or interesting to a user. By showing things that a person is more likely to care about, it makes the experience better and easier. This makes people more likely to click on things and stay longer on a site or app.

2: Optimization for Engagement (O): Many platforms work to make their systems better at keeping users involved. They use things like clicks and time spent watching to decide what content to show more often. This helps shape what kind of content gets promoted.

3: Latent Learning & Profiling (L): Using AI, these systems create detailed user profiles based on how people behave. These profiles help understand what users like, who they are, and what they might be interested in. but it also raises questions about privacy and data use.

4: Ecosystem Effects (E): The algorithms used can affect how content creators behave. They influence what kind of content gets seen and shared, which in turn the overall variety and type of content being created. This changes how content is produced and shared online.

5: Regulatory & Ethical Constraints (R2): Rules and ethical considerations are becoming more important in how personalization systems are built and used. These rules focus on privacy, and fairness, and they help balance the needs.

In total, ROLE stands for relevant discovery, Optimization for engagement, latent profiling.

4. Literature Review (secondary evidence synthesis)

4.1. Evidence for increased engagement many studies and reports from platforms show that personalization helps improve engagement measures like click-through rate, time spent watching, and likes.

In real-world cases, systems that mix different recommendation methods often see big increases in these metrics during tests and when they are actually used. For example, when these systems are put into use, there are clear improvements in likes, plays, and how long people stay on the platform.

4.2 Long-term user engagement and satisfaction recent reviews show a mixed picture: while personalization boosts short-term engagement, its effect on long-term satisfaction and keeping users around is not clear-cut. Some research says that if systems use exploration and don't just push the same content, personalization can support long-term use.

4.3 Informational diversity and filter bubbles Studies and models show that personalized algorithms can limit the variety of information people see, leading to end. This can affect how people form opinions and discuss important issues. Research using agent-based models and real data shows that personalized content can speed up the process of people only seeing similar views, which can make society more divided.

4.4 Privacy, trust and regulation Investigations and reports show that platforms collect and share a lot of user data. that the business model, which focuses on maximizing user engagement through ads, encourages heavy tracking and profiling. New ways that platforms use AI to understand user behaviour are making the privacy situation even more complicated.

5. Methodology (how secondary data were selected and analysed)

5.1. Source selection

We gathered secondary sources published from 2018 to 2025. We focused on peer-reviewed articles, high-quality preprints, conference papers, reliable industry reports, and official regulatory or investigative documents are used.

5.2. Analytical approach

1. Qualitative synthesis: We identified common themes in the claims made across different papers, such as benefits, how things work, potential harms, and ways to reduce risks.
2. Quantitative summary: Where possible, we noted reported results like percentage increases in engagement numbers and created a basic summary to show.
3. Policy mapping: We brought together findings from regulations to connect details with their impact on policy.

6. Findings & Analysis

6.1 Quantitative patterns from secondary reports in studies about how systems are built and industry reports, personalization is shown to improve how people engage with content.

For example, some studies say that when personalization is used, like in systems that mix different types of content or things, there are bigger numbers in things like likes, shares, and how long people stay on a platform. These increases can be anywhere from about 10% to over 100% in some specific areas, but this depends on the platform and the kind of content being shared.

6.2 Long-term vs short-term trade-offs Looking at what others have studied, it seems that focusing only on making people engage right away can lead to quick improvements, but it might also cause problems later on. If users feel that content is being pushed in a way that feels forced or not trustworthy, they might start to lose interest over time? Studies show that systems that also consider exploration and user satisfaction, rather than just looking at how much people engage, do better in the long run.

6.3 Informational diversity and social outcomes Research from detailed reviews and models suggests that personalization can lead to where people only see content that matches what they already know or believe.

The strength of this effect depends on how the system is designed. Features that encourage diverse content or random interesting items can help reduce this effect, but they don't completely stop it.

6.4 Privacy & user trust Studies and reports show that personalization usually needs a lot of user data, and sometimes this data is shared across different platforms.

If users aren't made aware of how their data is being used or if they don't agree to it, it can make them lose trust. New methods that use chat conversations to make content more personal bring up new worries.

7. Discussion

7.1 Understanding the ROLE framework

The Role framework shows how personalization has many parts. Each part gives designers different ways to shape the experience:

• Relevant discovery and Optimization are tools to improve how users feel right away, but they should not take away from the goal of offering a variety of content.

• Latent profiling can make recommendations more accurate, but it might hurt privacy. Designers should use methods like differential privacy or models that work on the user's device when possible.

• Ecosystem effects mean that platforms need to create rewards for creators, like making sure content is shared fairly, or in a good manner.

• Regulatory constraints are getting bigger.

Designers need to be ready for rules about transparency, being able to be checked, and being able to explain how things work.

7.2 Tips for designers and researchers

1.Multi-objective optimization: Work on both getting users to engage right away and making sure they feel satisfied over time with a variety of content.

2. Diversity-aware ranking: Add some randomness or to help users discover new content.

3. Privacy-preserving personalization: Use techniques like doing calculations on the user's device, learning from many users without seeing their data, and keeping data for as short a time as possible.

4. Transparent controls: Let users adjust how much personalization they want and give them ways to understand why content is shown to them.

7.3 Ethical and policy matters

Personalization systems should not just focus on getting the best results but also protect people's right to access different kinds of information, stop bad effects like polarization or fake news, and follow new privacy laws.

It's important to bring experts from different areas like human-computer interaction, machine learning, and policy studies together to make strong, fair systems.

8. Limitations

• This paper uses information from other studies and does not include new data from direct analysis. The results shown are from different studies that used various methods.
• There may be a tendency to report only positive results in industry reports.

9. Conclusion

AI-powered personalization features greatly influence how users experience social media. Studies show these features have a big impact on short-term user interaction and platform performance. However, long-term results depend on the choices made by the algorithms, which often involve new content, variety, and user privacy. The ROLE framework provides a clear way for researchers and designers to handle these different factors. Going forward, more research should focus on open testing, long-term studies on user satisfaction and well-being, and technological solutions to achieve the goals.

10. Practical appendix Suggested Secondary Sources and Datasets.

1. Studies that have been reviewed by experts in the field, looking at how recommendation systems work in real situations.
2. In-depth reviews about how filter bubbles and algorithms affect users, including reports from other trusted sources.
3. Reports from government agencies and media outlets that look into how recommendation systems operate, similar to findings from major news investigations.
4. Standard tests and data sets that are freely available to check how recommenders perform (like MovieLens and Recasts data), along with public performance etc.
5. Articles and technical notes from companies that explain how they set up and use recommendation systems over time, such as analyses of the Dance pattern.

References

1. Bayar, H. (2025). The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Personalizing Social Media Marketing and Its Impact on Customer Experience. Journal / Publisher. Retrieved from PubMed Central.[Long-term engagement review authors](2025). Long-term user engagement in recommender systems: a review. Research Gate Preprint.
2. Hamad, M. (2025). Trap of Social Media Algorithms: A Systematic Review of Echo Chambers and Filter Bubbles. Social Media + Society (MDPI). Reuters. (2025, October). Meta to use AI chats to personalize content and ads from December. Reuters.
3. Chueca Del Cerro, C. (2024). The power of social networks and social media's filter bubble: agent-based modelling and empirical evidence. Applied Network Science. [Hybrid recommender deployment study]. (2024). Real-World Evaluation: Hybrid Recommender System and User Engagement. Research Gate.
4. Dekker, C. A. (2025). For you vs. for everyone: The effectiveness of algorithmic personalization. Journal / Publisher.
5. Pariser, E. (2011). The Filter Bubble: What the Internet Is Hiding from You. Penguin Press. (Foundational concept referenced in review literature.) Knight First Amendment Institute. (2023). Understanding Social Media Recommendation Algorithms. Knight Institute Briefing.
6. The Guardian.(2024, Sept 19). Social media and online video firms are conducting 'vast surveillance' on users, FTC finds. The Guardian Reuters. (2024, Apr 26). What is so special about TikTok's technology? Reuters.
7. Shaikh, S. A. (2024). *Empowering Gen Z and Gen Alpha: A comprehensive approach to cultivating future leaders*. In *Futuristic Trends in Management* (IIP Series, Vol. 3, Book 9, Part 2, Chapter 2). IIP Series. https://doi.org/10.58532/V3BHMA9P2CH2
8. Chougle, Z. S., & Shaikh, S. (2022). *To understand the impact of Ayurvedic health-care business & its importance during COVID-19 with special reference to "Patanjali Products"*. In *Proceedings of the National Conference on Sustainability of Business during COVID-19, IJCRT*, 10(1),
9. Nanda, S., Singh, G., Hasan, N., Verma, P., Joshi, A., & Verma, R. (2024, February). Artificial Intelligence And Computational Ability In Digitizing Financial Products And Services By Micro-Entrepreneurs. In *2024 4th International Conference on Innovative Practices in Technology and Management (ICIPTM)* (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
10. Jain, S. (2024). Optimizing Customer Engagement with Social Media Analytics. *International Journal of Advanced Research and Multidisciplinary Trends (IJARMT), 1*(1), 41-52.
11. Bhagat, P. H., & Shaikh, S. A. (2025). *Managing health care in the digital world: A comparative analysis on customers using health care services in Mumbai suburbs and Pune city*. IJCRT. Registration ID: IJCRT_216557.

12. Shaikh, S. A., & Jagirdar, A. H. (2026). *Beyond AI dependence: Pedagogical approaches to strengthen student reasoning and analytical skills*. In S. Khan & P. Pringuet (Eds.), *Empowering learners with AI: Strategies, ethics, and frameworks* (Chapter 8, pp. 1–16). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-7386-7.ch008
13. Shaikh, S. A. (2024). *Empowering Gen Z and Gen Alpha: A comprehensive approach to cultivating future leaders*. In *Futuristic Trends in Management* (IIP Series, Vol. 3, Book 9, Part 2, Chapter 2). IIP Series. https://doi.org/10.58532/V3BHMA9P2CH2
14. Chougle, Z. S., & Shaikh, S. (2022). *To understand the impact of Ayurvedic health-care business & its importance during COVID-19 with special reference to "Patanjali Products"*. In *Proceedings of the National Conference on Sustainability of Business during COVID-19, IJCRT*, 10(1),