

## BENDING MOMENT BEHAVIOR OF BUILDING FOR L & I SHAPES

<sup>1</sup>Dr MP Verma, Anurag Singh Kushwaha <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1&2</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, School of Engineering &  
Technology Madhyanchal Professional University  
Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

### ABSTRACT

Seismic analysis of a multi-story Reinforced concrete frame is analyzed under moderate earthquake loads as an application of seismic hazard and in accordance with the seismic provisions proposed in IS: 1893-2002 to analyze the performance of existing buildings if exposed to seismic loads. The frame was analyzed using the response spectrum method to calculate the seismic displacements and stresses. The behavior of Multi-story building of regular and irregular plan configuration under earthquake is complex and it varies of wind loads are assumed to act simultaneously with earthquake loads.

The study of this research mainly emphasizes the structural behavior of multi-storey building for different plan configuration such as regular building along with L- shape and I- shape. In this modeling of G+ 24 storey RCC framed building is studied for earthquake load using STAAD-PRO V8i. Assuming that material property is linear static and dynamic analysis is performed. These analyses are carried out by considering different seismic zones (III and V) and for each zone the behavior is assessed by taking three different types of soils namely Hard, Medium and Soft. Post analysis of the structure, lateral displacements, story drift, base shear, maximum bending moment and design results are computed and then compared for all the analyzed cases. Designs of these structures in all cases are also done to obtain the most economic structure above all the cases.

**Keywords:** Structural Analysis and Design, High Rise Building, Response Spectrum, Plan Irregularity, STAAD-Pro V8i.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Earthquake has always been a threat to human civilization from the day of its existence, devastating human lives, property and man-made structures. The very recent earthquake that we faced in our neighboring country Nepal has again shown nature's fury, causing such a massive destruction to the country and its people. It is such an unpredictable calamity that it is very necessary for survival to ensure the strength of the structures against seismic forces. Therefore, there is continuous research work going on around the globe, revolving around development of new and better techniques that can be incorporated in structures for better seismic performance. Obviously, buildings designed with special techniques to resist damages during seismic activity have much higher cost of construction than normal buildings, but for safety against failures under seismic forces it is a prerequisite.

Objective of this research is to quantity of concrete and steel according zone wise seismic condition to plan irregularity on the behavior of the building. These calculation done by adopting Staad-Pro V8i software for , modeling of G+20 storey RCC frame building .

**Mohit Sharma and Dr. Savita Maru (2014)**, Analysis and design of buildings for static forces is a regular event these days because of availability of specialized programs and affordable computers which can be used for the analysis. On the other hand, dynamic analysis requires enormous time along with additional input related to mass of the structure, and an understanding of structural dynamics for interpretation of analytical results. Reinforced cement concrete frame buildings are most regular type of constructions generally provided in urban India, which are subjected to several types of forces during their lifetime, such as static forces due to live and dead loads and dynamic forces due to the earthquake. The performance of RCC Framed Structure is analyzed in zone II and III for both Static and Dynamic analysis and the results are tabulated. It has been seen that the values obtained for the Dynamic analysis are higher than that of obtained by Static Analysis for the same points and conditions.

**S.Mahesh and Dr.B.Panduranga Rao (2014)** studied the effect of earthquake and wind load on G+11 multi story building using ETABS and STAAD PRO V8i .Assuming that material property is linear static and dynamic analysis are performed. These analysis are carried out by considering different seismic zones and for each zone the behavior is assessed by taking three different types of soils namely Hard, Medium and Soft. Different response like story drift, displacements base shear is plotted for different zones and different types of soils. From the above study it is inferred that the E-tabs gives more accurate results of the parameters used in analysis whereas the approximate results were obtained from STAAD-Pro during the analysis of the structure.

**Milind V. Mohod (September 2015)** studied the effects of plan and shape configuration on irregular shaped structures. Buildings with irregular geometry respond differently against seismic action. Plan geometry is the parameter which decides its performance against different loading conditions. The effect of irregularity (plan and shape) on structure has been carried out by using structural analysis software STAAD Pro. V8i. There are several factors which affect the behavior of building such as storey drift and lateral displacement used to understand the behavior of structure. It has been observed from the research that simple plan and configuration must be adopted at the

planning stage to minimize the effect of earthquake.

**1 Methodology and loadings**

RCC structure are An assembly of Beams, Columns, Slabs and foundation and are inter-connected to each other as a single unit. All component load of this structures is transferred from slab to beam, beam to column and column shift the load to foundation. Ultimately all load transfers to the soil through the foundation. For structural analysis the codes IS-875 Part I and II and IS-1893-part I was considered. However; the design loads values were calculated as on the basis of materials property given in IS 875 (Part I) and the materials used for the constructional analysis. Other than ded load is defined as the load that is applied to the structure that is temporary and can be variable and be assumed accordance with IS 87S (Part II).

**Table:1 building sections and property considered**

S. No.	Building- Description	
1.	Plan Area	768m <sup>2</sup>
2.	X-Y Direction Grid Spacing	4m x 4m
3.	Storey Height	3.5m
4.	Number of story	20
5.	Beam Dimension	350mm x 450mm
6.	Column Dimension	600mm x 600mm
7.	Slab Thickness	150mm
8.	Thickness of main wall	200mm
9.	Thickness of parapet wall	125mm
10.	Height of parapet wall	1000 mm
11.	Bottom Support Condition	Fixed








Fig:1 Different geometric plans of building

For the analysis of the structure all the load conditions to the structure are applied. The values of design loads are calculated as per IS-875 Part I and II and IS-1893 part I. Dead loads shall be calculated on the basis of unit weights of materials given in IS 875 (Part I) which shall be established taking into consideration the materials specified for construction. The distribution of dead load is shown in figure 3.9. Imposed load is defined as the load that is applied to the structure that is not permanent and can be

variable and shall be assumed in accordance with IS 87S (Part II).


**RESULTS**

**Maximum Bending Moment (KN-m)**




**Fig:2 Maximum bending moment.**

**Quantity of concrete (cum.)**



**Fig:3 quantity of concrete (cum)**



**Fig:4 quantity of steel (newton)**

The Fig 1, 2, 3 and 4 are representing the result of bending moment, quantity of concrete and quantity of steel for different shapes respectively. The fig no 1 is showing the plan of building and fig. is presenting behavior of bending moment of structure. The L shape is representing higher and the rectangular shape is showing medium however; the I shape is experiencing lowest bending moment. It means the higher bending moment is resultant of higher displacement and higher displacement is cause of maximum destruction. The regular shape rectangular and I section are presenting low bending moment due to less displacement.

### CONCLUSION

1. The value of both maximum bending moment and maximum displacement is maximum in L-shape which shows torsion irregularity in the plan geometry whereas, the Rectangular and I-Shape shows similar results.
2. While considering the effect of lateral displacement on different shapes of the building of the structure it has been observed that, asymmetrical shape such as L-shape shows higher value which means building is displaced more in both directions as compare to regular shape.
3. It is clearly visible that the inter-storey drift increases with storey height up to 4<sup>th</sup> storey reaches the maximum value and then started decreasing. The inter-storey drift value is maximum for L-shape whereas the rectangular shape gives the best results in X-direction while in Z-direction rectangular and I-shape type of buildings gives almost similar results.
4. Design results shows that the overall cost of irregular structure is much higher due to torsion and high shear force the amount of steel and concrete required is more as compared to regular structure which shows less requirement of concrete and steel.

### FUTUTRE SCOPE

1. We can also study the effect of different other complex geometries such as T- Shape, E-Shape, Y- Shape, Channel Shape etc, onRCC frame using Response spectrum method.
2. The effect of combined irregularity both in plan as well as in elevation can be studied.
3. The effect of change in height can also be studied by increasing the height of the building by introducing different lateral loadresisting elements.

### References

- [1]. Aziz, T.S.A. and Roesset, J.M., 1976. Inelastic dynamic analysis of building frames. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Civil Engineering, Constructed Facilities Division.
- [2]. Otani, S., 1980. Nonlinear dynamic analysis of reinforced concrete building structures. Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering, 7(2), pp.333-344.
- [3]. Aydınoğlu, M.N., 2004, June. An improved pushover procedure for engineering practice:
- [4]. Incremental Response Spectrum Analysis (IRSA). In International Workshop on Performance-based Seismic Design: Concepts and Implementation (pp. 345-356).

- [5]. De Stefano, M. and Pintucchi, B., 2008. A review of research on seismic behaviour of irregular building structures since 2002. *Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering*, 6(2), pp.285-308.
- [6]. Herrera, R.G. and Soberon, C.G., 2008, October. Influence of plan irregularity of buildings. In *The 14th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering*.
- [7]. Poonam, A.K. and Gupta, A.K., 2012. Study of response of structurally irregular building frames to seismic excitations. *International Journal of Civil, Structural, Environmental and Infrastructure Engineering Research and Development*, 2(2), pp.25- 31.
- [8]. Ravikumar, C.M., KS, B.N., Sujith, B.V. and Reddy, V., 2012. Effect of irregular configurations on seismic vulnerability of RC buildings. *Architecture Research*, 2(3), pp.20-26
- [9]. Bhawendra Nath Yogi, Dr. M. P. Verma, "Structural Behaviour of Multi-Storey Building for Different Plan Configuration", *International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering (IJSRCE)*, ISSN : 2456-6667, Volume 6 Issue 3, pp. 83-89, May-June 2022. URL : <https://ijsrce.com/IJSRCE23145>