International Journal of Research and Technology (IJRT)
International Open-Access, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Online Journal
ISSN (Print): 2321-7510 | ISSN (Online): 2321-7529
Conference "Innovation and Intelligence: A Multidisciplinary Research on Artificial Intelligence and its Contribution to Commerce and Beyond"
Organized by the IQAC, KHMW College of Commerce (December 2025)

Human Labour Vs Machine Efficiency: An Analysis Using Secondary Data

Miss Ayesha Siddiqui
Miss Sana Kukwa
Bachelor Of Commerce

Abstract

This paper examines the relationship between human labour and desktop effectivity in modern-day economies. Using secondary records from tutorial studies, working papers, and worldwide organization reports, it explores how automation and AI have an effect on employment, productivity, job composition, and inequality. The find out about synthesizes competing theoretical views (substitution vs. complementarity), critiques empirical estimates of job susceptibility and displacement, and analyses coverage responses. Findings point out that whilst machines make bigger productiveness and can replacement for activities tasks, complementary results and new-demand introduction regularly offset outright job destruction even though distributional challenges and skill-biased results stay acute. The paper concludes with coverage pointers to maximize social positive aspects from automation whilst mitigating labour-market harms.

Keywords: automation, synthetic intelligence, labour, productivity, job displacement, skill-biased technological change, coverage

1. Introduction

Technological development has continually reshaped labour markets. The contemporary wave of digital applied sciences — synthetic brain (AI), robotics, superior sensors, and software program automation — has renewed debate about whether or not machines will supplant human labour at scale or as a substitute complement human abilities and create new jobs. This paper asks: How do computing device effectivity positive factors have an effect on human labour outcomes? We look at mechanisms of substitution and complementarity, record empirical patterns from secondary sources, and consider coverage alternatives to manipulate transition fees and distributional effects. The lookup attracts on main research and global reviews to construct a built-in photograph of productiveness impacts, sectoral job risks, and labour-market adjustments. Key contributions are (1) a multiplied overview of literature situating foremost theories and findings, (2) synthesis of secondary empirical estimates, and (3) policy-oriented tips grounded in evidence.

2. Review of Literature

1. This part affords an extended literature assessment equipped thematically. Each entry summarizes the contribution and relevance to the human labour

vs computer effectivity debateFrey & Osborne (2013) — *The Future of Employment: How Susceptible are Jobs to Computerisation*
Frey and Osborne estimate probabilities of computerization across 702 occupations This part affords an extended literature overview prepared thematically. Each entry summarizes the contribution and relevance to the human labour vs computer efficiency debate.

2. **Brynjolfsson & McAfee (2014) — The Second Machine Age**
Brynjolfsson and McAfee argue that digital applied sciences dramatically speed up productiveness and create new possibilities however additionally danger concentrating beneficial properties amongst capital proprietors and distinctly knowledgeable workers. They emphasize the significance of coverage and institutional responses to distribute benefits.
3. **Acemoglu & Restrepo (2018) —** Artificial Intelligence, Automation and Work (NBER Working Paper)
Acemoglu and Restrepo advance a nuanced framework displaying that automation can each displace people (substitution) and amplify productiveness that would possibly create new duties (task creation). They spotlight dangers of "excessive automation," the place automation takes place quicker than socially suitable due to the fact of biases in incentives. Their modelling highlights distributional and coverage angles.
4. **International Labour Organization (ILO) reviews (various years)** — World Employment and Social Outlook
ILO flagship reviews synthesize cross-country proof on tendencies in employment, skills, and the implications of automation for susceptible groups. They supply coverage instruction on social protection, training, and energetic labour-market measures. **McKinsey Global Institute (2017)** — Jobs lost, jobs won (summary findings) McKinsey affords scenario-based estimates on the share of duties that may want to be computerized and the scale of worker transitions required, pointing out large-scale reskilling needs. (Referenced in coverage discussions and mentioned in information syntheses.)
5. **Empirical task-based work (multiple authors)** — Jaimovich & Siu; Hershbein & Kahn; many research looks into the "routinization" hypothesis, polarization of employment, and task-shift patterns. These research report that middle-skilled events jobs decline whilst high- and low-skill jobs grow, altering wage distribution and labour-market dynamics. (Representative research summarized in Autor 2015 and subsequent work.)
6. **Policy and critical perspectives** — Various commentaries and analyses (e.g., Perez 2018 reflections on technological revolutions; journalistic syntheses) spotlight each transformative practicable and governance gaps in particular on retraining, taxation, and redistribution

7. **Synthesis of ROL:** The literature coalesces round three central insights: (a) automation disproportionately influences movements tasks; (b) consequences rely on whether or not science enhances or substitutes labour in these tasks; and (c) mixture employment outcomes rely on demand responses, institutional settings, and coverage choices. Empirical estimates differ however constantly flag extensive reskilling wishes and distributional worries.

3. Objectives

1. To synthesize secondary proof on how desktop effectivity influences employment levels, mission composition, and wages.
2. To consider competing theoretical frameworks (substitution vs complementarity) the usage of empirical findings.
3. To pick out which occupations/sectors face the easiest automation hazard and the projected scale of adjustment.
4. To advise coverage measures to maximize advantages of automation whilst minimizing labour-market harm.

4. Research Questions & Hypotheses

Research Questions

• RQ1: What is the relationship between desktop effectivity positive aspects and employment at region and occupational levels?
• RQ2: Under what stipulations do machines complement as a substitute than replacement human labour?
• RQ3: What insurance policies excellent facilitate equitable transitions in the labour market**?**

Hypotheses

• H1: Occupations with a excessive share of pursuits duties are greater probable to journey substitution with the aid of machines. (Supported with the aid of task-based literature and susceptibility estimates.)
• H2: In sectors the place science augments employee productiveness (complementarity), employment may additionally amplify or shift towards higher-skill duties
• H3: Without energetic coverage interventions (retraining, social protection), automation is probably to amplify inequality even if combination employment stays stable.

5. Research Methodology (Secondary-data analysis)

Design: Qualitative synthesis and comparative secondary-data analysis. No most important facts collection. The find out about aggregates findings from peer-reviewed articles, working papers, and global reports.

Data sources: NBER working papers (Acemoglu & Restrepo), tutorial articles (Autor; Frey & Osborne), books (Brynjolfsson & McAfee), ILO flagship reports, McKinsey summaries, and different posted analyses. Each supply informs estimates of automation risk, productiveness impacts, and coverage discussions

Approach:

• Collate quantitative estimates (e.g., job-share at risk, mission shares) from stated studies.
• Compare theoretical predictions (substitution vs complementarity) with empirical observations throughout sectors.
• Identify constant patterns and variations throughout studies.
• Derive coverage implications primarily based on converging evidence.

Limitations: Reliance on secondary sources capability findings rely on the validity of these studies; differing methodologies produce heterogeneous estimates. The find out about emphasizes synthesis and interpretation as an alternative than new econometric estimation**.**

6. Secondary-data Analysis — Evidence Synthesis

6.1 Estimates of job susceptibility and displacement

• Frey & Osborne's influential methodology cautioned a massive share of jobs (often-cited as round 47% for the U.S.) are prone to automation; later opinions emphasised that susceptibility is occupation-level and task-specific, no longer a direct forecast of job loss.
• The McKinsey and associated reviews provide scenario-based estimates (hundreds of tens of millions globally can also want to change occupations via 2030), emphasizing reskilling scale as an alternative than deterministic unemployment**.**

6.2 Productivity gains vs labour displacement

• Brynjolfsson & McAfee file robust productiveness will increase attributable to digitalization, however spotlight that mixture productiveness boom can co-exist with employment pressure in specific sectors and for unique organizations
• Acemoglu & Restrepo's fashions exhibit that technological trade can produce each displacement and complementary project creation;
the internet employment impact relies upon on the elasticity of demand for new duties and establishments shaping adoption

6.3 Task-based substitution and complementarity

- Autor (2015) and associated empirical work exhibit automation tends to substitute pursuits cognitive and guide duties whilst complementing non-routine duties (problem-solving, social interaction). This explains discovered polarization: increase in high-skill and some low-skill jobs, decline in movements middle-skill jobs

6.4 Distributional effects and inequality

- Multiple sources warn that positive aspects from automation accrue erratically favouring capital proprietors and high-skill people except redistributive insurance policies or labour-enhancing establishments are in place. ILO reviews underline dangers for prone people and stress lively labour-market insurance policies.

6.5 International and sectoral heterogeneity

- The labour influences of automation range with the aid of united states of America (depending on labour costs, regulation, and talent supply) and quarter (manufacturing, logistics, offerings fluctuate in project composition). Cross-country reviews emphasize differentiated coverage prescriptions

7. Discussion

The secondary literature suggests a nuanced conclusion: computing device effectivity will increase productiveness and transforms the venture composition of work — in many instances substituting for hobbies components of jobs however additionally complementing non-routine activities. Aggregate employment has now not collapsed historically, and can also now not fall down now; however, transitions can be disruptive and uneven.

Reconciling competing views

- The "automation will ruin all jobs" narrative simplifies complicated dynamics. Autor's work and Acemoglu & Restrepo's fashions furnish mechanisms explaining why jobs persist and how new duties appear.
- The "machines amplify inequality" problem is well-founded: except interventions, effectivity positive factors can enlarge returns to capital and to high-skill labour, widening earnings and wealth disparities.

Practical implications for firms & workers

- Firms should invest in technology that complements workers, retrain staff for upgraded tasks, and redesign job architectures.
- Workers will face increased demand for cognitive flexibility, digital literacy, and social skills. Lifelong learning becomes essential.

8. Policy Recommendations

Based on the synthesis above, the following **coverage package deal** is recommended:

1. Active labour-market programs: large-scale reskilling and upskilling applications focused at routine-job workers. Collaborate with enterprise for relevance. (Supported by using McKinsey and ILO recommendations.)
2. Education reform: shift curricula towards problem-solving, digital literacy, and interpersonal competencies that complement AI. Emphasize modular, short-cycle credentials to guide transitions.
3. Social safety and transition support: transportable benefits, unemployment insurance, and wage insurance plan can minimize adjustment prices throughout occupational transitions. ILO reviews stress protecting measures
4. Incentives for labour-augmenting innovation: tax and regulatory insurance policies must keep away from biasing companies towards immoderate automation; motivate applied sciences that increase labour productiveness and job quality. Acemoglu & Restrepo warn of incentives main to "excessive automation.

5. Monitoring and research: governments ought to fund longitudinal research of venture adjustments and labour-market consequences to adapt insurance policies in actual time.

9. Conclusion

Machine effectivity affords huge possible for productiveness and welfare gains. However, the consequences on human labour rely on challenge composition, company incentives, institutional settings, and coverage responses. The stability between substitution and complementarity will decide whether or not automation consequences in internet job destruction, mission reallocation, or increased job quality. Evidence from secondary sources suggests that jobs will be modified instead than totally eliminated, however great transitions and distributional challenges are likely. Proactive coverage — reskilling, supportive social protection, and incentives for labour-augmenting applied sciences — is indispensable to make sure widely shared benefits.

References

1. Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2018). Artificial Intelligence, Automation and Work (NBER Working Paper No. 24196). National Bureau of Economic Research
2. Autor, D. H. (2015). Why are there nonetheless so many jobs? The History and Future of Workplace Automation. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 29(3), 3–30
3. Bhagat, P. H., & Shaikh, S. A. (2025). *Managing health care in the digital world: A comparative analysis on customers using health care services in Mumbai suburbs and Pune city*. IJCRT. Registration ID: IJCRT_216557.
4. Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies. W. W. Norton & Company
5. Chougle, Z. S., & Shaikh, S. (2022). *To understand the impact of Ayurvedic health-care business & its importance during COVID-19 with special reference to "Patanjali Products"*. In *Proceedings of the National Conference on Sustainability of Business during COVID-19, IJCRT*, 10(1),
6. Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. A. (2013). The future of employment: How **prone** are jobs to computerisation? (Working paper). Oxford Martin School, University of Oxford.
7. International Labour Organization. (2021). World Employment and Social Outlook: Trends 2022. ILO.
8. McKinsey Global Institute. (2017). Jobs lost, jobs gained: Workforce transitions in a time of automation. McKinsey & Company. (Reported in summaries and coverage discussions).
9. Parikh, V. (2023). Whistleblowing in B-Schools, Education and Society, Vol-47, Issue – 1, Pg. 183-189.

10. Parikh, V. C. (2022) Strategic talent management in education sector around organizational life cycle stages! JOURNAL OF THE ASIATIC SOCIETY OF MUMBAI, SSN: 0972-0766, Vol. XCV, No.11.
11. Perez, C. (2018). Reflections on technological revolutions and development. In [Various commentaries on The Second Machine Age]. (Discusses limits of coverage proposals.)
(Additional empirical and evaluation papers mentioned in the evaluation consist of severa task-based research and sectoral reviews summarized through Autor, Acemoglu & Restrepo, and worldwide companies listed above.)
12. Shaikh, S. A. (2024). *Empowering Gen Z and Gen Alpha: A comprehensive approach to cultivating future leaders*. In *Futuristic Trends in Management* (IIP Series, Vol. 3, Book 9, Part 2, Chapter 2). IIP Series. https://doi.org/10.58532/V3BHMA9P2CH2
13. Shaikh, S. A., & Jagirdar, A. H. (2026). *Beyond AI dependence: Pedagogical approaches to strengthen student reasoning and analytical skills*. In S. Khan & P. Pringuet (Eds.), *Empowering learners with AI: Strategies, ethics, and frameworks* (Chapter 8, pp. 1–16). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-7386-7.ch008