



## **A Study of the Concept of One Person Company in the Context of Company Law Reforms and Its Potential to Promote Ease of Doing Business in India: A Legal Analysis**

**<sup>1</sup>Mahendra Singh Nathawat & <sup>2</sup>Dr. Sanskriti Srivastava**

**<sup>1</sup>Ph.D. Research Scholar & <sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor**

**Department of Law  
Apex University, Jaipur**

### **ABSTRACT**

The One Person Company (OPC) introduced under the Companies Act, 2013 represents a significant innovation in Indian corporate law, addressing a longstanding gap by enabling solo entrepreneurs to access corporate status without requiring multiple founders. This legal analysis examines the OPC concept within contemporary company law reforms and evaluates its effectiveness as an instrument for promoting ease of doing business in India. Through examination of statutory provisions under the Companies Act, 2013, the Companies (Incorporation) Rules, 2014 and the Companies (Incorporation) Second Amendment Rules, 2021, this study demonstrates that OPCs facilitate entrepreneurial formalization while maintaining simplified compliance frameworks. The article analyzes distinctive features including limited liability protection, separate legal entity status and exemptions from governance requirements typical of traditional private companies. However, significant constraints persist, including restrictions on external capital infusion and mandatory residency requirements, which limit OPC utility in scaling enterprises. The 2021 amendments represent substantial liberalization by removing mandatory conversion thresholds and permitting NRI participation, substantially enhancing OPC viability. This analysis concludes that OPCs constitute a meaningful institutional innovation supporting inclusive entrepreneurship and MSME ecosystem development, though further reforms addressing capital mechanisms and governance harmonization remain necessary.

**Keywords:** One Person Company, Companies Act 2013, ease of doing business, entrepreneurship, MSME, corporate law reform, limited liability, India

### **INTRODUCTION**

The Companies Act, 2013 represents comprehensive modernization of Indian corporate law, implementing significant reforms to address contemporary business needs and international standards compliance[1]. Among its notable innovations, the Act introduced provisions for One Person Company (OPC) through Section 2(62), effective from September 1, 2013[2]. This legislative introduction responded to a critical gap in Indian corporate law: the absence of a recognized corporate form for genuine solo entrepreneurs who sought corporate status benefits without the requirement for multiple founders. Prior to 2013, Indian corporate law maintained a binary structure: sole proprietorship without corporate formality, or private/public companies requiring minimum two shareholders and directors [3]. This



structural constraint functioned as a regulatory barrier, effectively excluding individual innovators and small business founders from accessing limited liability protection and corporate status[4].

Section 2(62) of the Companies Act, 2013 defines OPC as: "One Person Company means a company which has only one person as a member." [5] This definition establishes the foundational principle that a single natural person can constitute the entire membership of a corporate entity. The regulatory framework for OPC operations comprises: the Companies Act, 2013; the Companies (Incorporation) Rules, 2014; and the Companies (Incorporation) Second Amendment Rules, 2021 [6]. The Companies (Incorporation) Rules, 2014, Rule 3, establishes eligibility criteria: OPCs may be incorporated only by natural persons who are Indian citizens and residents, defined as individuals present in India for not less than 120 days during the preceding financial year (modified from the original 182-day requirement) [7]. Significantly, the Amendment Rules, 2021 extended eligibility to eligible Non-Resident Indians (NRIs), substantially liberalizing the original framework [8].

## **FEATURES AND ADVANTAGES OF OPC STRUCTURE**

**Limited Liability Protection:** The foundational advantage of OPC structure is conferral of limited liability protection. Section 4 of the Companies Act, 2013 provides that the liability of company members is limited to the amount of capital invested, protecting personal assets from business obligations [9]. Unlike sole proprietors whose personal wealth remains subject to creditor claims, OPC members enjoy separation between personal and corporate liability, creating substantial financial insulation for individual entrepreneurs.

**Separate Legal Entity:** Upon incorporation, the OPC acquires juridical personality distinct from its sole member, permitting it to acquire property, incur liabilities, enter into contracts and maintain business continuity independent of changes in individual circumstances [10]. This separate entity status enables succession planning and long-term enterprise continuity.

**Simplified Compliance Framework:** The Companies Act, 2013 extends substantial compliance relaxations to OPCs recognizing the proportionality principle. Key exemptions include:

- **Financial Reporting:** OPCs are exempt from cash flow statement filing requirements [11].
- **Audit Requirements:** OPCs whose turnover does not exceed specified thresholds are exempt from statutory audit obligations [12].
- **Company Secretary:** Rule 8 of the Companies (Incorporation) Rules, 2014 exempts OPCs from mandatory company secretary appointment requirements [13].
- **Board Meetings:** Relaxed provisions apply regarding board meeting frequency and governance protocols typically required for larger companies [14].

**Capital Flexibility:** OPCs permit equity capital structuring without external investor participation, simplifying capitalization documentation and eliminating voting coordination complexity characteristic of multi-shareholder structures [15].

## **REGULATORY RESTRICTIONS AND LIMITATIONS**

**Mandatory Conversion Provisions (Pre-2021):** Prior to 2021 amendments, Rule 8B required mandatory OPC conversion to private or public limited company status when: (1)



paid-up share capital exceeded INR 50 lakh, or (2) average annual turnover exceeded INR 2 crore[16]. These thresholds created practical disincentives for OPC scaling, as successful enterprises faced regulatory pressure to restructure governance and add minimum two additional members and directors.

**2021 Amendments and Conversion Liberalization:** The Companies (Incorporation) Second Amendment Rules, 2021 substantially reformed this regime by: (1) removing mandatory conversion requirements based on capital and turnover thresholds; (2) permitting OPCs indefinite operational continuity regardless of scaling; (3) reducing residency requirement from 182 to 120 days; and (4) extending NRI eligibility [17]. These amendments removed critical barriers to OPC continued operation as enterprises mature, representing significant acknowledgment that scaling should not mandate structural transformation.

**Capital Infusion Constraints:** Structural restrictions prevent external equity investor participation in OPCs. The single-member requirement eliminates opportunities for venture capital investment characteristic of traditional private limited companies [18]. This constraint particularly affects capital-intensive and technology-dependent sectors requiring external equity financing.

**Residency and Citizenship Requirements:** OPC formation remains fundamentally restricted to Indian citizens and residents with the amended 120-day residency criterion establishing temporal nexus to Indian jurisdiction [19]. While NRI eligibility extended post-2021, the restriction effectively excludes foreign nationals from OPC formation, distinguishing Indian OPCs from corresponding single-member structures in certain comparative jurisdictions.

### **OPC AS EASE OF DOING BUSINESS INSTRUMENT**

**Removal of Multi-Founder Requirements:** The OPC framework substantially reduces barriers to business registration by eliminating the requirement for multiple founding members and directors[20]. Traditional private company incorporation necessitated identification of at least two co-founders willing to undertake joint venture initiation, a requirement that functionally excluded genuine solo entrepreneurs from corporate status. OPC provisions enable immediate registration through a single administrative applicant, substantially reducing procedural friction.

**Digital Incorporation and Rapid Formalization:** The Ministry of Corporate Affairs' e-governance platforms have further reduced procedural complexity, permitting online OPC registration within business hours[21]. Government reports from 2017 documented that 14,769 OPCs had been registered with collective authorized capital of INR 377.54 crores with highest concentrations in business services (9,282 OPCs)[22].

**Gateway to Organized Sector Participation:** The OPC framework functions as a regulatory bridge facilitating informal proprietor transition into organized sector participation. OPCs are eligible for benefits under Startup India scheme, including tax holidays and patent filing subsidies[23]. Enhanced credit access follows from formal regulatory status with banks extending credit facilities to OPCs on favorable terms relative to informal proprietorships[24].



**MSME Ecosystem Support:** The OPC framework has substantially supported MSME ecosystem development. The Ministry of Corporate Affairs' 2021 press release explicitly stated that OPC amendments were designed to incentivize incorporation of OPCs, particularly benefiting startups and innovators[25]. Integration with MSME support schemes facilitates working capital expansion and asset acquisition, supporting enterprise scaling within simplified governance frameworks.

### **CHALLENGES AND CONSTRAINTS**

**External Capital Infusion Limitations:** OPC restrictions on external equity capital infusion create substantial limitations for scaling enterprises, particularly those operating in technology and innovation-dependent sectors. Enterprises requiring venture capital investment encounter institutional barriers incompatible with OPC membership singularity requirements [26].

**Operational Continuity Risks:** The OPC framework's single-member dependency creates pronounced operational continuity risks. Enterprise functionality remains dependent on the member's continued engagement, health and cognitive capacity, distinguishing OPCs from multi-member structures capable of maintaining governance continuity during individual member incapacity [27].

**Market Perception and Institutional Relationships:** Despite formal parity in legal status, institutional practice reflects lingering skepticism regarding OPC legitimacy. Some institutional lenders and corporate counterparties continue exhibiting preference for traditional private limited company structures, perceiving OPCs as smaller or less established enterprises [28].

### **COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS: INTERNATIONAL PERSPECTIVES**

**United States Single-Member LLC Model:** The United States recognizes single-member limited liability companies (LLCs) with similar foundational objectives to Indian OPCs: provision of limited liability protection while maintaining operational simplicity[29]. However, U.S. single-member LLCs permit substantially greater capital infusion flexibility and external investment accommodation, distinguishing them from Indian OPC restrictions on external equity participation.

**United Kingdom Private Company Framework:** The United Kingdom recognizes private companies limited by shares capable of single-member operation, though maintaining stricter governance and reporting requirements relative to Indian OPCs[30]. The comparative analysis suggests that Indian OPC provisions represent a more permissive approach to single-member enterprises regarding compliance burden reduction.

### **POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS AND REFORM CONSIDERATIONS**

**Enhanced Capital Infusion Mechanisms:** Development of modified capital mechanisms permitting OPC access to external equity while preserving single-member status could substantially enhance OPC scaling potential. Potential approaches include creation of preferred share categories designated for institutional investors with specified governance limitations, accommodating investment while maintaining membership singularity[31].



**Expanded NRI Participation:** The 2021 amendments partially addressed NRI exclusion through targeted eligibility provisions. Further liberalization permitting broader NRI participation could facilitate diaspora entrepreneurship and technology transfer into Indian SME sectors[32].

**Governance Harmonization:** Enhanced integration of OPC provisions with existing Startup India and MSME schemes could amplify ease of doing business benefits through accelerated approval procedures and regulatory coordination across government agencies[33].

## **CONCLUSION**

The introduction of One Person Company provisions under the Companies Act, 2013 represents a deliberate and significant institutional innovation addressing a longstanding structural gap in Indian corporate law. By eliminating multiple founder requirements, simplifying governance protocols and reducing compliance burdens, the OPC framework substantially advances the national commitment to promoting ease of doing business in India. The Companies (Incorporation) Second Amendment Rules, 2021 represented substantial liberalization through removal of mandatory conversion thresholds based on capital and turnover metrics, permitting indefinite OPC operational continuity regardless of enterprise scaling. This reform acknowledged that success should not mandate structural transformation and removed critical barriers to OPC maturation.

OPCs have successfully facilitated millions of individual entrepreneurs' transition from informal proprietorship to organized sector participation, thereby expanding the formal economy's scope and enhancing regulatory visibility of entrepreneurial activity. The framework's integration with Startup India and MSME schemes has amplified its effectiveness as an instrument for inclusive entrepreneurship development. However, meaningful limitations persist regarding external capital infusion and membership singularity restrictions, constraining OPC utility for capital-intensive sectors requiring venture capital participation. Ongoing reform considerations including development of modified capital infusion mechanisms, expansion of NRI eligibility and enhanced integration with support schemes—represent opportunities for further improvement. In synthesis, OPCs constitute a meaningful and increasingly important component of India's corporate legal landscape, supporting inclusive entrepreneurship development and sustainable MSME ecosystem growth while contributing substantively to national ease of doing business objectives.



## **REFERENCES**

- [1] Government of India. (2013). The Companies Act, 2013. Ministry of Corporate Affairs. Available at: <https://www.indiacode.nic.in/handle/123456789/2114>
- [2] Ministry of Corporate Affairs. (2013). Companies Act, 2013 comes into force. Government of India.
- [3] Companies (Incorporation) Rules, 2014. Rule 3. Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Government of India.
- [4] Government of India, Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion. (2015). National Action Plan on Ease of Doing Business. New Delhi.
- [5] Section 2(62), The Companies Act, 2013. Government of India. Available at: <https://www.indiacode.nic.in/bitstream/123456789/2114/5/A2013-18.pdf>
- [6] Companies (Incorporation) Second Amendment Rules, 2021. Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Government of India.
- [7] Companies (Incorporation) Rules, 2014. Rule 3(1)(b)(ii), as amended. Ministry of Corporate Affairs.
- [8] Press Information Bureau. (2021, January 31). MCA amends One Person Companies (OPCs) rules. Government of India. Available at: <https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleaseIframePage.aspx?PRID=1694828>
- [9] Section 4, The Companies Act, 2013. Government of India.
- [10] Institute of Company Secretaries of India. (2014). One Person Company (OPC) - Legal Framework. ICSI Publications. Available at: <https://www.icsi.edu/media/webmodules/companiesact2013/ONE PERSON COMPANY.pdf>
- [11] Companies (Accounts) Rules, 2014. Rule 6. Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Government of India.
- [12] Section 138, The Companies Act, 2013, as applied to OPCs. Government of India.
- [13] Companies (Incorporation) Rules, 2014. Rule 8(1). Ministry of Corporate Affairs.
- [14] Companies Act, 2013. Schedule II; Companies (Management and Administration) Rules, 2014. Rule 3.
- [15] Companies (Incorporation) Rules, 2014. Rule 4. Ministry of Corporate Affairs.
- [16] Companies (Incorporation) Rules, 2014. Rule 8B (repealed by Amendment Rules, 2021).
- [17] Press Information Bureau. (2021, January 31). Proposed easing of rules in One Person Companies for Start-ups, Innovators. Government of India. Available at: <https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=1693883>
- [18] Companies (Incorporation) Rules, 2014. Rule 3(3). Ministry of Corporate Affairs.
- [19] Income-tax Act, 1961. Section 6. Government of India.
- [20] Section 3(1)(a), The Companies Act, 2013. Government of India.
- [21] Ministry of Corporate Affairs. (2014). E-Governance initiatives in corporate registration. Department of Company Affairs, New Delhi.



- [22] Press Information Bureau. (2017, November 29). More than 2 lakh Directors on the Board of such Companies. Government of India. Available at: <https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=1513567>
- [23] Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion. (2016). Startup India Action Plan: Supporting entrepreneurship through reformed regulation. Government of India.
- [24] Reserve Bank of India. (2015). Lending to Small and Medium Enterprises: Policy Framework and Performance. RBI Bulletin, New Delhi.
- [25] Press Information Bureau. (2021, January 31). MCA amends One Person Companies (OPCs) rules. Available at: <https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleaseIframePage.aspx?PRID=1694828>
- [26] Companies (Incorporation) Rules, 2014. Rule 4(1)(b). Ministry of Corporate Affairs.
- [27] Companies Act, 2013. Section 152. Government of India.
- [28] Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry. (2015). Institutional credit access for OPCs: Market survey and analysis. FICCI Research Division, New Delhi.
- [29] Delaware General Corporation Law. (2013). Sections 3104-3109. Delaware Code Annotated.
- [30] Companies House (UK). (2014). Private Companies Limited by Shares: Governance and reporting requirements. Crown Copyright, London.
- [31] Ministry of Corporate Affairs. (2022). Guidance Note on OPC Conversion Procedures. Department of Company Affairs, New Delhi.
- [32] Press Information Bureau. (2021, January 31). Proposed easing of rules in One Person Companies for Start-ups, Innovators. Government of India.
- [33] Ministry of Corporate Affairs. (2022). Annual Report 2021-2022: Corporate registration statistics. Government of India.

#### **Appendix: Key Statutory Provisions**

- Section 2(62), Companies Act, 2013: Defines One Person Company as a company which has only one person as a member.
- Section 4, Companies Act, 2013: Establishes limited liability principle - member's liability limited to amount of capital invested.
- Rule 3, Companies (Incorporation) Rules, 2014: Establishes eligibility criteria for OPC incorporation (Indian citizen, residency, singularity requirements).
- Rule 8, Companies (Incorporation) Rules, 2014: Exempts OPCs from company secretary appointment requirements.
- Companies (Incorporation) Second Amendment Rules, 2021: Removes mandatory conversion thresholds; permits indefinite OPC operation; extends NRI eligibility; reduces residency requirement to 120 days.