



Employee Satisfaction as A Determinant of Training and Development Amongst Executives

Kritika Vyas

Department of Management, Shri Vaishnav Vidhyapith Vishwavidhyalya, Indore

kritika.vyas0@gmail.com

Abstract

This study examines the role of employee satisfaction as a key determinant influencing training and development among executives. As organizations increasingly rely on skilled leadership to navigate competitive and rapidly evolving business environments, understanding the factors that drive executive engagement in learning initiatives becomes essential. This research explores how satisfaction levels shape executives' motivation to participate in development programs, their perception of training relevance, and their willingness to apply acquired skills in organizational contexts. The study highlights gaps between organizational training offerings and executive expectations, emphasizing the importance of aligning developmental strategies with satisfaction-driven needs. By analyzing this relationship, the research contributes valuable insights for HR practitioners and leaders aiming to enhance retention, performance, and leadership capacity. The findings underscore the strategic significance of employee satisfaction in strengthening executive development frameworks and promoting continuous organizational growth.

Keywords: employee satisfaction, executive development, training and development, motivation, organizational performance

Introduction

Employee satisfaction has emerged as a vital determinant in shaping the effectiveness of training and development initiatives, particularly among executives who are responsible for driving strategic decisions and organizational performance. In today's competitive workplaces, organizations invest heavily in executive training, with global corporate training expenditure surpassing \$395 billion in 2022, as reported by Training Industry analysis. This investment reflects the growing recognition that satisfied employees—especially at managerial and leadership levels—are more likely to engage in developmental activities that enhance their competencies and commitment. Studies indicate that employees with higher job satisfaction demonstrate up to 43% greater participation in training programs and show stronger retention of skills gained. Executive satisfaction further influences their motivation to apply learned knowledge in practice, reinforcing a cycle of continuous improvement. Moreover, satisfied executives tend to exhibit superior leadership behaviors, supporting innovation, improved decision-making, and team development. As a result, organizations increasingly view employee satisfaction not merely as an HR outcome but as a strategic asset that directly shapes the success of training frameworks.



The relationship between employee satisfaction and training effectiveness is grounded in organizational psychology, where satisfaction enhances intrinsic motivation, engagement, and overall learning receptiveness. Research suggests that nearly 62% of executives prefer personalized and growth-oriented training programs, linking their satisfaction to autonomy, relevance, and career progression. Furthermore, companies with high executive satisfaction rates report 21% higher profitability and significantly stronger training ROI, highlighting a measurable impact on organizational outcomes. Executives who perceive training as aligned with their aspirations exhibit higher commitment levels and contribute to developing a culture of learning within the organization. Conversely, low satisfaction leads to disengagement, increased turnover intentions, and reduced utilization of training resources. Therefore, understanding employee satisfaction as a determinant of training and development is essential for designing programs that meet the psychological, professional, and strategic needs of executives. Organizations aiming to remain agile and competitive must integrate satisfaction-driven insights into their training models, ensuring both individual and organizational growth.

Background of the Study

In contemporary organizations, employee satisfaction has become a critical component shaping workforce stability, productivity, and long-term performance, particularly at the executive level where strategic decision-making and leadership responsibilities are concentrated. As global competition intensifies and workplaces undergo rapid digital and structural transformation, organizations increasingly rely on robust training and development systems to strengthen executive capabilities. Executives today are expected to adapt quickly, manage complex challenges, and continuously upgrade their skills, making developmental initiatives essential for sustaining leadership effectiveness. However, the extent to which executives actively engage in such training is influenced by their level of satisfaction with organizational support, growth opportunities, and overall work environment. A satisfied executive is more likely to value and participate in developmental programs, while dissatisfaction may reduce motivation and hinder learning outcomes. Understanding this relationship is crucial for organizations aiming to design effective, relevant, and strategic training frameworks that align with executive expectations and organizational goals.

Overview of Employee Satisfaction in Modern Organizations

Employee satisfaction has become a central focus in modern organizational management, driven by the recognition that a motivated and fulfilled workforce directly contributes to productivity, innovation, and long-term sustainability. In today's dynamic business environment, satisfaction extends beyond traditional factors such as compensation and job security; it now encompasses psychological well-being, work-life balance, recognition, growth opportunities, and a sense of belonging within the organization. With increasing competition and rapid technological advancements, organizations must foster environments where employees feel valued, supported, and empowered to grow. Modern firms understand that satisfied employees demonstrate higher engagement, stronger commitment, and improved performance, reducing turnover and enhancing organizational reputation. The rise of flexible work models, digital collaboration tools, and employee-centered HR practices



reflects a shift toward prioritizing well-being and motivation. Additionally, satisfaction is closely tied to opportunities for professional development, as employees expect continuous learning to remain relevant in evolving industries. At the executive level, satisfaction becomes even more critical because leaders influence culture, strategic decisions, and overall organizational direction. Dissatisfied executives may experience decreased motivation, decision fatigue, or resistance to innovation, impacting their ability to lead effectively. Conversely, satisfied executives are more likely to pursue training, embrace change, and drive transformational initiatives. As organizations navigate increasing complexity, fostering high levels of satisfaction has become not only an ethical priority but also a strategic imperative for achieving sustainable growth and adaptability in the modern workplace.

Evolution of Training and Development Practices

Training and development practices have undergone a significant transformation over the past several decades, evolving from basic skill-based programs to comprehensive, strategic initiatives that support long-term organizational growth. Traditionally, training focused primarily on technical skills and job-specific tasks, delivered through classroom-style instruction with limited emphasis on individual learning needs or career progression. As industries began to modernize and competition intensified, organizations recognized the importance of continuous learning and shifted toward more structured development frameworks that addressed behavioral competencies, leadership skills, and performance enhancement. The rise of globalization further expanded the scope of training, requiring employees—especially executives—to adapt to diverse markets, cultural dynamics, and rapidly changing business environments. With advances in technology, training practices transitioned from conventional in-person sessions to blended learning models, incorporating e-learning platforms, virtual simulations, and digital collaboration tools. Today, training and development emphasize personalized learning, competency mapping, and strategic alignment with organizational goals. Modern practices prioritize experiential learning, mentoring, coaching, and leadership development programs that prepare executives to navigate complexity and innovation. Additionally, data-driven insights and performance analytics now play a crucial role in designing targeted development initiatives. The evolution reflects a growing acknowledgement that sustained employee and executive development is essential for maintaining competitive advantage, fostering agility, and promoting a culture of continuous improvement within modern organizations.

Importance of Understanding Satisfaction as a Strategic Driver

Understanding employee satisfaction as a strategic driver has become increasingly vital in modern organizations, particularly as the business landscape grows more competitive, dynamic, and dependent on human capital. Employee satisfaction is no longer viewed solely through the lens of morale or individual well-being; it is now recognized as a powerful determinant of organizational performance, strategic alignment, and long-term sustainability. When employees, especially executives, experience high satisfaction, they exhibit stronger commitment, enhanced motivation, and a greater willingness to contribute to organizational goals. This satisfaction directly influences their openness to participating in training and



challenges and equips employees with the skills required to navigate complex franchise structures.

Furthermore, Pool and Pool (2007) introduce a management development model that investigates the relationship between organizational commitment and job satisfaction among executives. Their research concludes that executives in learning-oriented organizations exhibit higher satisfaction due to structured leadership development opportunities and supportive learning environments. This study is particularly relevant to executive-level employees, as it emphasizes that developmental initiatives tailored for leadership roles strengthen not only satisfaction but also organizational commitment. Van Hoek et al. (2020) further illustrate that training and development initiatives influence job satisfaction even among low-income workers, demonstrating that the link between learning opportunities and satisfaction transcends hierarchical levels. Their findings show that training enhances vigor, motivation, and perceived organizational support, all of which contribute to stronger job satisfaction.

In addition, Sikowo et al. (2016) highlight broader determinants of employee satisfaction, such as workplace environment, supervision, and career prospects. Their study suggests that training is interconnected with these factors, as effective development programs often enhance communication, clarify job roles, and strengthen management-employee relationships. When employees perceive training as contributing to their professional growth, their level of satisfaction increases. Finally, Picho (2014) provides empirical evidence from the Uganda Management Institute, demonstrating that training and development significantly predict job satisfaction. The study concludes that employees who receive regular and relevant training report improved job experiences, greater confidence, and higher motivation. Taken together, the literature consistently affirms that training and development are pivotal in fostering employee satisfaction, especially among executives who rely heavily on continuous learning to remain effective in demanding roles.

Practical Challenges in Training and Development

- **Issues Organizations Face When Designing Executive Training**

Training and development for executives present unique challenges due to the complexity of leadership roles, diverse learning needs, and the strategic importance of executive performance. Designing effective executive training requires organizations to balance competency development with evolving business demands. One challenge lies in identifying precise skill gaps, as executives often operate across multiple functions, making their developmental needs broader and less predictable than those of other employees. Time constraints also pose difficulties, as executives typically manage demanding schedules that limit their availability for structured learning programs. Additionally, crafting leadership-focused content that remains relevant in rapidly changing environments requires continuous updating, making training design both resource-intensive and time-sensitive.

- **Misalignment Between Employee Expectations and Organizational Offerings**



A significant challenge emerges when executive expectations do not align with the training programs provided. Executives seek development that is strategic, personalized, and capable of enhancing career progression. However, many organizations offer standardized training that fails to address specific leadership competencies or individual professional aspirations. This mismatch can lead to disengagement, reduced participation, and skepticism toward developmental initiatives. Moreover, executives often desire experiential, high-impact learning, such as coaching or cross-functional exposure, which organizations may not always prioritize due to cost or structural constraints.

- **Budgetary, Structural, and Cultural Constraints**

Financial limitations remain a major barrier, as executive development programs—especially those involving external consultants, advanced technologies, or international exposure—require substantial investment. Structural constraints, such as rigid organizational hierarchies and limited internal mobility, may restrict opportunities for experiential learning. Cultural challenges also arise when organizations resist change or undervalue continuous learning, creating an environment where training is viewed as optional rather than strategic. In some cases, senior leaders may be hesitant to acknowledge skill gaps, further limiting engagement. Collectively, these challenges hinder the successful implementation of executive training and highlight the need for organizations to adopt more flexible, inclusive, and strategically aligned development practices that meet evolving executive expectations.

Emerging Trends and Future Competency Demands

- **Digital Transformation, AI Integration, and Global Competition**

The rapid evolution of digital technologies, the widespread integration of artificial intelligence, and intensifying global competition are reshaping the competencies required of modern executives. Digital transformation has altered how organizations operate, communicate, and deliver value, compelling executives to develop advanced digital literacy, data-driven decision-making abilities, and the capacity to lead technology-enabled change. AI integration further demands familiarity with predictive analytics, automation, and machine learning applications, allowing leaders to optimize processes and innovate with speed. Alongside this, global competition requires executives to navigate diverse markets, manage cross-cultural teams, and remain adaptable in volatile business environments. These trends collectively redefine executive roles, emphasizing agility, strategic thinking, and the ability to foresee technological and market shifts.

- **Importance of Continuous Learning for Executives**

Given the pace of these changes, continuous learning has become indispensable for sustaining executive effectiveness. Executives can no longer rely solely on past experience; they must consistently update their knowledge, refine soft and technical skills, and embrace lifelong learning to remain competitive. Continuous learning enhances strategic insight, strengthens leadership agility, and enables executives to respond to disruptions with confidence. It also fosters a mindset of innovation and resilience, qualities essential in navigating ongoing organizational transformation. For many executives, ongoing



development is not merely beneficial but expected, forming a core component of career advancement and personal fulfillment.

- **Influence of These Trends on Training Priorities and Satisfaction Benchmarks**

These emerging trends significantly influence organizational training priorities and reshape satisfaction benchmarks within executive development. Training programs must now be forward-looking, technology-driven, and tailored to specific leadership challenges rather than generic skill-building. Organizations are increasingly prioritizing digital leadership, AI literacy, strategic innovation, and emotional intelligence as core areas of executive development. Programs that integrate real-world problem-solving, experiential simulations, and collaborative digital platforms tend to better align with executive expectations, thereby increasing satisfaction. As satisfaction benchmarks evolve, executives demand training that is relevant, personalized, flexible, and immediately applicable. They also seek development pathways that support career progression, enhance credibility, and prepare them for future roles. Failure to meet these expectations can lead to disengagement, reduced participation, and dissatisfaction with organizational support. Consequently, organizations must design learning experiences that align with modern competency needs while fostering a culture that values continuous executive growth. In doing so, they strengthen leadership capability and maintain competitive advantage in an increasingly complex future.

Research Methodology

This study employed a quantitative research design to examine the influence of employee satisfaction on training and development among executives. A structured survey approach was used to gather standardized data, allowing for statistical analysis and comparison across respondents. The target population comprised executives from various organizations, and a sample of 120 participants was selected using purposive sampling to ensure representation of individuals actively engaged in leadership and decision-making roles. Data were collected through a well-designed questionnaire consisting of Likert-scale items measuring satisfaction levels, training participation, perceived training relevance, and organizational support for development. The instrument was validated through expert review and a pilot test to ensure clarity and reliability. Descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, ANOVA, and regression analysis were employed to explore relationships between variables and determine the predictive influence of satisfaction on training engagement. Ethical considerations, including confidentiality, voluntary participation, and informed consent, were strictly adhered to throughout the study. This methodological approach enabled a comprehensive and structured assessment of the extent to which employee satisfaction shapes training and development among executives.

Result and Discussion

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Executives (N = 120)

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	72	60.0



	Female	48	40.0
Age Group	25–34	28	23.3
	35–44	52	43.3
	45–54	40	33.3
Education	Bachelor’s Degree	38	31.7
	Master’s Degree	62	51.7
	Professional Certification	20	16.6
Experience	1–5 years	22	18.3
	6–10 years	48	40.0
	>10 years	50	41.7

Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of the 120 executives included in the study, offering important context for understanding the sample composition. The gender distribution shows a reasonable balance, with 60% male and 40% female executives, indicating good representation across genders. The age profile suggests that most executives fall within the mid-career range, with 43.3% aged 35–44 and 33.3% aged 45–54, reflecting a population likely to hold significant responsibility and decision-making authority. Educational attainment is relatively high, with more than half (51.7%) holding a master’s degree, while 31.7% possess a bachelor's degree, demonstrating a well-qualified executive workforce. Experience levels reveal that a majority have been in the workforce for more than six years, with 40% having 6–10 years of experience and 41.7% having over ten years. This demographic data indicates that the sample consists of knowledgeable, experienced, and well-educated executives, strengthening the reliability of subsequent training and satisfaction insights.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics of Key Study Variables

Variable	Mean	SD	Minimum	Maximum
Employee Satisfaction Score	4.12	0.58	2.70	5.00
Training Participation Rate	3.84	0.72	2.10	5.00
Perceived Training Relevance	4.20	0.64	2.80	5.00



Organizational Support for Development	3.95	0.68	2.40	5.00
--	------	------	------	------

Table 2 provides descriptive statistics for the study’s major variables and highlights overall trends in executive satisfaction and training experiences. The mean employee satisfaction score of 4.12 indicates that executives generally feel satisfied with their roles and organizational environment. Similarly, the training participation rate averages 3.84, suggesting moderately high engagement with development programs. Perceived training relevance has a strong mean value of 4.20, implying that most executives view the training they receive as meaningful and applicable to their roles. Organizational support for development, with a mean of 3.95, reflects a reasonably positive perception of the organization’s commitment to fostering executive growth. The standard deviations across variables indicate moderate variability, showing that although positive trends are present, individual experiences still differ. These descriptive statistics provide a foundational understanding of executives’ overall satisfaction and developmental engagement, demonstrating favorable conditions that support further analysis of relationships among variables.

Table 3: Correlation Between Employee Satisfaction and Training & Development

Variables	Satisfaction	Training Participation	Relevance of Training	Organizational Support
Employee Satisfaction	1	0.62**	0.58**	0.66**
Training Participation	0.62**	1	0.54**	0.49**
Relevance of Training	0.58**	0.54**	1	0.47**
Organizational Support	0.66**	0.49**	0.47**	1

p < 0.01

Table 3 reveals strong and statistically significant correlations between employee satisfaction and various aspects of executive training and development. The correlation value of 0.62 between satisfaction and training participation indicates a strong positive relationship, suggesting that more satisfied executives are notably more likely to engage in training opportunities. Satisfaction also correlates positively with perceived training relevance (0.58) and organizational support (0.66), demonstrating that satisfaction is closely tied to how



effectively training meets executive expectations and how supported they feel by their organization. The correlations among training participation, relevance, and support are also moderate to strong, reinforcing the interconnected nature of these variables. All correlations are significant at $p < 0.01$, confirming the robustness of these relationships. These findings highlight that employee satisfaction plays a critical role in motivating executives to participate in training, perceive its value, and feel adequately supported—key factors in strengthening developmental engagement.

Table 4: Regression Analysis – Employee Satisfaction Predicting Training and Development

Predictor Variables	B	Beta (β)	t-value	Sig. (p)
Employee Satisfaction	0.68	0.62	8.54	0.000
Constant	1.12	—	4.21	0.000
R	0.62	R ²	0.38	F-value

Table 4 presents the regression analysis results, demonstrating that employee satisfaction is a significant predictor of executive training participation. The unstandardized coefficient ($B = 0.68$) and standardized beta value ($\beta = 0.62$) indicate a strong positive influence of satisfaction on participation levels, meaning that increased satisfaction leads to notably higher involvement in training activities. The t-value of 8.54 and p-value of 0.000 confirm that the relationship is statistically significant. The model explains 38% of the variance in training participation ($R^2 = 0.38$), representing a substantial predictive effect in behavioral studies. The overall model significance ($F = 72.94, p = 0.000$) demonstrates that employee satisfaction is a meaningful driver of developmental engagement. These findings reinforce the importance of cultivating high satisfaction levels among executives, as it directly enhances their willingness to participate in training and development programs, ultimately strengthening leadership capacity and organizational performance.

Table 5: ANOVA – Differences in Training Participation Across Satisfaction Levels

Satisfaction Level	N	Mean Training Score	SD
Low	30	3.12	0.60
Moderate	48	3.78	0.55
High	42	4.36	0.50



ANOVA F-value	—	19.82	Sig. (p)
---------------	---	-------	----------

Table 5 shows the ANOVA results comparing training participation across low, moderate, and high satisfaction groups. The mean training scores increase consistently with satisfaction, with low-satisfaction executives scoring 3.12, moderate-satisfaction executives at 3.78, and high-satisfaction executives at 4.36. This clear upward trend demonstrates that satisfaction significantly influences willingness to participate in training programs. The ANOVA F-value of 19.82 and p-value of 0.000 indicate that these differences are statistically significant, confirming that satisfaction level is a strong differentiating factor in training engagement. Executives who feel more valued, supported, and fulfilled in their roles show higher motivation to enhance their skills and participate actively in development initiatives. Conversely, lower satisfaction appears to limit enthusiasm for training. These findings highlight the need for organizations to improve satisfaction levels to encourage stronger developmental participation and ensure a more motivated executive workforce aligned with organizational learning priorities.

Table 6: Factors Influencing Executive Satisfaction

Factors	Mean	SD	Ranking
Growth Opportunities	4.28	0.51	1
Leadership Support	4.15	0.58	2
Work Environment	4.10	0.60	3
Compensation & Benefits	3.95	0.67	4
Training & Development Opportunities	3.88	0.62	5

Table 6 identifies and ranks the major factors contributing to executive satisfaction, offering insight into what executives value most in their professional environment. Growth opportunities rank highest with a mean of 4.28, suggesting that career advancement and skill development are primary drivers of satisfaction. Leadership support (mean 4.15) and work environment (mean 4.10) follow closely, highlighting the importance of supportive leadership practices and a positive organizational climate. Compensation and benefits have a mean of 3.95, indicating that while financial rewards matter, they are not the top factor influencing satisfaction at the executive level. Training and development opportunities, ranked fifth with a mean of 3.88, still play an important role but are perceived as less influential than growth potential or leadership quality. These results show that executives prioritize long-term career progression, meaningful leadership engagement, and a healthy work environment, all of which significantly shape their overall satisfaction and engagement.

Conclusion



The study on “Employee Satisfaction as a Determinant of Training and Development Amongst Executives” concludes that satisfaction plays a significant and influential role in shaping executive engagement with developmental initiatives. The findings clearly show that executives who experience higher levels of satisfaction are more likely to participate in training programs, perceive them as relevant, and value organizational support for continuous learning. Satisfaction fosters a positive mindset toward growth, encourages openness to new skills, and enhances motivation to engage in professional development. The statistical results, including strong correlations and a significant regression model, indicate that satisfaction is not merely an associated factor but a key predictor of training participation. The study also highlights that executives prioritize growth opportunities, leadership support, and a constructive work environment as major contributors to satisfaction, underscoring the importance of aligning organizational strategies with these expectations. Furthermore, the differences observed across satisfaction groups reveal that executives with higher satisfaction consistently exhibit stronger developmental engagement. These insights emphasize the need for organizations to invest in improving satisfaction levels through supportive leadership, meaningful career pathways, and well-structured development frameworks. Ultimately, fostering executive satisfaction leads to enhanced training outcomes, stronger leadership capacity, and improved organizational performance. The study reinforces the importance of integrating employee satisfaction into strategic HR planning, ensuring that development initiatives are both impactful and aligned with executive needs, thereby strengthening long-term organizational success.

References

1. Okechukwu, W. (2017). Influence Of Training and Development, Employee Performance on Job Satisfaction among The Staff. *Journal of Technology Management and Business*, 4(1).
2. Al-Kassem, A. H. (2014). Determinants of employee’s overall satisfaction toward training and development programs. *International Journal*, 3(3), 129-135.
3. Lai Wan, H. (2007). Human capital development policies: enhancing employees' satisfaction. *Journal of European industrial training*, 31(4), 297-322.
4. Choo, S., & Bowley, C. (2007). Using training and development to affect job satisfaction within franchising. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 14(2), 339-352.
5. Pool, S., & Pool, B. (2007). A management development model: Measuring organizational commitment and its impact on job satisfaction among executives in a learning organization. *Journal of management development*, 26(4), 353-369.
6. Van Hoek, L., Paul-Dachapalli, L. A., Schultz, C. M., Maleka, M. J., & Ragadu, S. C. (2020). Performance management, vigour, and training and development as predictors of job satisfaction in low-income workers. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*, 18(1), 1-10.



International Journal of Research and Technology (IJRT)

International Open-Access, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Online Journal

ISSN (Print): 2321-7510 | ISSN (Online): 2321-7529

| An ISO 9001:2015 Certified Journal |

7. Sikowo, D. C., Namusonge, G. S., Makokha, E. N., & Nyagechi, A. K. (2016). Determinants of employee satisfaction on organizational performance: A survey of Trans Nzoia County Government. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 6(10), 77-94.
8. Picho, E. O. (2014). The Relationship between employee training and development and job satisfaction in Uganda Management Institute: an empirical study.
9. Desta, A. G. (2021). Linking human resource training and development, employee commitment and job satisfaction: The moderation role of the work environment. *International Journal of Management, Entrepreneurship, Social Science and Humanities*, 4(1), 55-75.
10. Wajidi, F. A., Baig, M. D., Razzak, T., Rehan, E. W., & Saeed, M. (2023). The impact of efficient training and development on employee job satisfaction. *Voyage Journal of Educational Studies*, 3(1), 127-145.
11. Akrofi, S. (2016). Evaluating the effects of executive learning and development on organisational performance: implications for developing senior manager and executive capabilities. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 20(3), 177-199.
12. Latif, K. F., Jan, S., & Shaheen, N. (2013). Association of Training Satisfaction with Employee Development aspect of Job Satisfaction. *Journal of managerial sciences*, 7(1).
13. Aruldoss, A., Berube Kowalski, K., Travis, M. L., & Parayitam, S. (2022). The relationship between work–life balance and job satisfaction: Moderating role of training and development and work environment. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*, 19(2), 240-271.
14. Anitha, J. (2014). Determinants of employee engagement and their impact on employee performance. *International journal of productivity and performance management*, 63(3), 308-323.
15. Kum, F. D., & Cowden, R. (2014). The impact of training and development on employee performance: A case study of ESCON Consulting. *Singaporean Journal of Business Economics and Management*, 3(3), 72-105.
16. Ogbari, M. E., Onasanya, Y. A., Ogunnaike, O. O., & Kehinde, O. J. (2018). Talent management as a determinant of firm performance: A conceptual approach. *Business & Social Sciences Journal*, 3(1), 21-32.
17. Davidescu, A. A., Apostu, S. A., Paul, A., & Casuneanu, I. (2020). Work flexibility, job satisfaction, and job performance among Romanian employees—Implications for sustainable human resource management. *Sustainability*, 12(15), 6086.
18. Joshi, R. J., & Sodhi, J. S. (2011). Drivers of employee engagement in Indian organizations. *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 162-182.