



Thermally Resilient Gaming Spaces: An Interior-Design-Led Framework for Managing Heat Loads from High-Performance PCs

¹Shraddha Sahu, ²Mrs. Sucheta Nigam

¹Student VII Semester, ²Associate Professor

^{1/2}SOAP&D, Sanjeev Agrawal Global Educational University, Bhopal

ABSTRACT

Gaming rooms are typically planned around visual themes and equipment, with thermal performance treated as a later technical concern. A high-performance gaming PC converts nearly all of the electrical power it draws into heat that enters the room, regardless of how well the computer cools its own components. This review reframes gaming-room thermal comfort as an interior-design problem involving heat sources, air pathways, and people. It synthesizes literature on human thermal comfort, computer-room airflow, ventilation, building-envelope heat gain, and PC thermal management, and it identifies five gaps in the evidence for small residential and semicommercial gaming spaces. The paper proposes a Source–Pathway–Person (SPP) planning framework, a Heat Load Budget method, an eight-scenario design matrix, and a mixed-methods protocol for future field validation. The paper is a narrative review; no original survey or measurement data are reported. The central conclusion is that effective design begins by quantifying heat load and mapping airflow before cooling products are selected. Potential benefits extend to gamers, interior designers, furniture and HVAC suppliers, esports and gaming-cafe operators, and energy-conscious households.

Keywords: gaming rooms, thermal comfort, gaming PC heat load, ventilation, interior design, esports environments, energy efficiency, hot-climate design.

1. INTRODUCTION

Gaming has moved from a pastime in a corner of a bedroom to a distinct spatial type: streaming rooms, home esports setups, creator studios, shared lounges, and commercial gaming cafes. Each concentrates a powerful computer, multiple displays, lighting, networking equipment, and a seated occupant in a small volume for long, uninterrupted sessions.

The room therefore carries two distinct thermal tasks. The first is component cooling: the computer needs airflow through its case to keep processors and graphics cards within operating limits. The second is room-level heat removal: heat expelled by the computer, other equipment, sunlight, and the occupant's own metabolism must be managed so the person remains comfortable. Improving a PC's internal fans addresses the first task but not the second, because those fans move heat from components into the room.

These tasks are often conflated in popular advice and, to a degree, in design practice. This paper keeps them separate and then connects them through a spatial planning lens, which is where interior design can contribute most.



Figure 1: Airflow and Thermal Management Inside Gaming PC Cabinet (digitaltrends.com)

1.1 Problem Statement and Significance

Three recurring design risks create thermal problems in gaming rooms. First, the PC may be enclosed for appearance, for example inside a closed desk cabinet, under a desk, or against a wall, so that exhaust air recirculates to the intake. Second, cooling may be treated as a single product, such as a desk fan, an open window, or an air conditioner, rather than as a coordinated system of loads, openings, and air distribution. Third, climate and orientation may be ignored, so that solar gain, hot outdoor air, or humidity cancel the benefit of the cooling strategy.

The consequences are practical and cumulative: user discomfort and fatigue during long sessions, equipment running hotter than intended, higher electricity use from overcompensating with air conditioning, and costly retrofits when thermal planning was skipped at the design stage. The issue is sharpest in compact rooms and in warm or hot climates, where little spare cooling capacity or air volume exists.

2. AIM, OBJECTIVES, RESEARCH QUESTIONS, AND PROPOSITIONS

2.1 Aim

The aim of this paper is to develop and justify an interior-design-led framework for managing PC-generated heat in gaming rooms while sustaining occupant thermal comfort and reasonable energy use.

2.2 Objectives

The paper pursues six objectives:

1. Identify and classify heat sources and heat pathways in gaming rooms.
2. Distinguish component-level cooling from room-level heat removal and show how they interact.
3. Examine how equipment placement, furniture, and enclosures support or obstruct airflow.
4. Evaluate natural ventilation, mechanical ventilation, air conditioning, fans, and solar shading under different conditions.

5. Translate findings into a scenario-based decision tool for designers and users.
6. Define a feasible protocol for validating the framework in future field studies.

2.3 Research questions

1. How much does a gaming setup contribute to room heat gain relative to other sources?
2. How do layout and enclosure choices affect airflow and heat recirculation?
3. Which ventilation and cooling strategies suit which room conditions?
4. How can comfort be improved without disproportionate energy use?

2.4 Propositions for Future Testing

The following propositions are stated as hypotheses for future field testing, not as findings:

1. Enclosed or poorly ventilated PC placement is associated with higher reported discomfort than open, ventilated placement at similar room conditions.
2. In small rooms, equipment load is a larger share of total heat gain than in larger rooms.
3. Combined strategies (shading, a clear airflow path, and targeted cooling) are associated with higher reported comfort than any single measure.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

Research stream	Representative sources	Typical scale	Contribution	Limitation for gaming rooms
Human thermal comfort	de Dear et al. (2013); Rupp et al. (2015)	General buildings	Comfort variables and adaptive models	No gaming-specific loads or long seated PC use
Computer-room airflow	Abanto et al. (2004); Kumar & Jatav (2015)	Laboratories and computer rooms	Airflow and ventilation principles	Not residential; not furniture-driven; not a single high-wattage PC
PC component cooling	Leyva Retureta et al. (2025)	Inside the PC case	Fan configuration and component temperatures	Does not address room-level heat or exhaust fate
PC-as-heater tests	Puget Systems (n.d.); Gorringer et al. (n.d.)	Test rooms; educational	Heat output tracks power draw	No design guidance or comfort measurement
Sports and esports venues	Airthings (n.d.); Losi et al. (2021); SiegeGG (n.d.)	Large commercial venues	Venue-scale HVAC and air-quality concerns	Not transferable to small-room planning

Heat Released by Gaming Equipment

As a matter of energy conservation, electrical power consumed by computing equipment ends up as heat in the room, apart from small amounts converted to light and sound that are also ultimately absorbed. Puget Systems (n.d.) compared a heavily loaded gaming PC with a space heater drawing similar wattage in a closed room and reported a comparable room temperature

4. RESEARCH GAP AND CONTRIBUTION

The literature reviewed addresses comfort in general buildings, airflow in institutional computer rooms, and cooling inside PC hardware, but this review did not identify a study that combines these into a design framework for residential and semicommercial gaming rooms. A documented systematic search (e.g., Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar with recorded search strings) should confirm this before submission. Five gaps are proposed:

1. **Scale gap.** Evidence comes from large rooms or hardware interiors; small, bedroom-sized gaming rooms with one dense, high-wattage workstation are understudied.
2. **Integration gap.** Component cooling, room ventilation, solar gain, and occupant comfort are studied separately, and no shared planning model links them.
3. **Interior-design gap.** Enclosures, desks, shelving, and layout are rarely treated as thermal variables, although they directly control exhaust recirculation.
4. **Context gap.** Little work addresses warm and hot climates, intermittent power or cooling, humid-season operation, or mixed-mode (natural plus mechanical) use.
5. **User-evidence gap.** Gamers' own descriptions of heat buildup and the coping measures they use have not been systematically documented alongside room features.

This paper contributes (a) the SPP framework, (b) a transparent Heat Load Budget method, (c) a scenario matrix that converts principles into situation-specific strategies, (d) a stakeholder value analysis, and (e) a validation protocol designed to yield publishable field data.

4.1 Conceptual Framework: The Source–Pathway–Person Model

The SPP model organizes gaming-room thermal planning into three linked elements. Sources are where heat is generated or enters: the PC, monitors, peripherals, lighting, sun through glazing, warm adjoining spaces, and the occupant. Pathways are how heat moves and leaves: PC exhaust direction and clearance, furniture and enclosure effects, openings and ventilation routes, air-conditioner supply and return, and ceiling or desk fans. The person is what the occupant experiences and controls: air temperature, radiant exposure, air speed, humidity, clothing, session length, access to controls, and ergonomics.

A design is evaluated by asking three questions in order. Can sources be reduced or relocated? Can pathways carry heat out of the room rather than back to the occupant or the PC intake? Does the person have comfortable conditions and meaningful control?

4.2 Ventilation Decision Logic

- If outdoor air is cooler and drier than indoor air and a clear inlet-to-outlet path exists, use ventilation to remove heat.
- If outdoor air is hotter or very humid, ventilation adds load; rely on mechanical cooling and reduce sun and equipment heat.
- If air is still but not hot, circulation (fans) improves comfort but does not remove heat.
- If the room load exceeds what passive measures can handle, plan active cooling at the design stage.



5. DESIGN STRATEGIES

5.1 Equipment Placement

Keep PC intake and exhaust openings unobstructed to the manufacturer's clearances. Avoid corners and enclosures that return exhaust air to the intake, and make filters and vents accessible for cleaning.

5.2 Furniture and Workstation Layout

Treat desks, shelving, and cable management as airflow elements. Maintain ergonomic requirements and circulation space while keeping air paths usable.

5.3 Ventilation and Cooling

Match the strategy to the outdoor condition (see the ventilation decision logic in Section 4.2). Place the air-conditioner supply so the seating area is comfortable without a direct draft, and consider the position of the PC exhaust relative to supply and return.

5.4 Envelope and Shading

Reduce solar gain with blinds, curtains, or external shading where direct sun reaches the room. Do not rely on wall finish alone to cool a room.

5.5 Aesthetics and Sustainability

Dark palettes, LED themes, and acoustic treatments can be retained when ventilation grilles, equipment zones, and shading are integrated into the design concept. Reduce avoidable load first, then size cooling, and verify any claimed energy saving by measurement.

6. PROPOSED METHOD FOR VALIDATION

This paper does not report original field data. The following protocol is proposed for a student-led or collaborative primary study.

6.1 Design

The study would use a cross-sectional mixed-methods design that combines a user survey with short on-site environmental measurements.

6.2 Participants

A planning target is approximately 50 to 100 regular gaming-PC users recruited from college groups, gaming communities, and personal networks. The final report must state the actual number of respondents, the recruitment method, and the dates of data collection; the target is a planning figure, not a result.

6.3 Measures

- Perceived thermal sensation and comfort votes (e.g., a seven-point sensation scale and a satisfaction scale, as used in thermal comfort field studies).
- Room air temperature and relative humidity, logged at desk height away from the PC exhaust.
- PC placement type, room size, window orientation and shading, cooling methods, and session length.
- Where feasible, power draw at the wall and CPU and GPU temperatures, reported separately from room temperature and perceived comfort.

A suggested survey instrument appears in the Appendix.

6.4 Analysis

Analysis would use descriptive statistics, cross-tabulation of placement and cooling type against comfort votes, and correlation between logged conditions and reported comfort. With a sample of this size, results should be described as associations, not causal effects.

6.5 Ethical Considerations

Participation should be voluntary, with informed consent and minimal collection of personal data. A perception survey cannot establish safe component temperatures or required cooling capacity, and electrical, HVAC, or building changes need professional assessment.

7. LIMITATIONS

This is a narrative review, not a systematic review, so source selection may be incomplete. Several sources on PC heat output are experimental reports or educational papers rather than peer-reviewed building-science studies. The Heat Load Budget uses assumed values. The scenario matrix expresses design directions that have not been field tested. Climate, building type, and local regulations will change which strategies apply.

8. CONCLUSION

Gaming rooms behave as small environmental systems in which a concentrated, variable heat source, an occupant, and the building's air and envelope interact. Component cooling cannot by itself make the room comfortable, and no single product solves heat in all conditions. By organizing decisions around sources, pathways, and people, quantifying load before choosing equipment, and matching strategies to realistic scenarios, interior designers can reduce avoidable heat problems at the design stage. The framework and protocol presented here are intended to support field validation, and such evidence would turn plausible design principles into tested guidance for users and industry.

REFERENCES

1. Abanto, J., Barrero, D., Reggio, M., & Ozell, B. (2004). Airflow modelling in a computer room. *Building and Environment*, 39(12), 1393–1402. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2004.03.011>
2. Airthings. (n.d.). Live on air at Oslo Esports Arena. <https://airthings.com/resources/live-on-air-at-oslo-esports-arena>
3. de Dear, R., Akimoto, T., Arens, E., Brager, G., Candido, C., Cheong, K. W. D., Li, B., Nishihara, N., Sekhar, C., Tanabe, S., Toftum, J., Zhang, H., & Zhu, Y. (2013). Progress in thermal comfort research over the last twenty years. *Indoor Air*, 23(6), 442–461. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ina.12046>
4. Kumar, D., & Jatav, A. (2015). Performance evaluation of natural ventilation devices to improve thermal comfort of a computer lab of university building using CFD as a tool. *International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Technology*, 4(3), 126–130. <https://indianjournals.com/article/ijset1-4-3-001>
5. Leyva Retureta, J. G., García Martínez, A. E., Aldana Franco, F., Lagunes Barradas, V., & Álvarez Sánchez, E. J. (2025). Computational fluid dynamics study on the impact of axial fan configuration on thermal management in gaming desktops.



International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology, 73(6), 417–427.
<https://doi.org/10.14445/22315381/IJETT-V73I6P133>

6. Losi, G., Bonzanini, A., Aquino, A., & Poesio, P. (2021). Analysis of thermal comfort in a football stadium designed for hot and humid climates by CFD. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 33, Article 101599.
7. Puget Systems. (n.d.). Gaming PC vs. space heater efficiency. <https://www.pugetsystems.com/labs/articles/gaming-pc-vs-space-heater-efficiency-511>
8. Rupp, R. F., Vásquez, N. G., & Lamberts, R. (2015). A review of human thermal comfort in the built environment. *Energy and Buildings*, 105, 178–205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2015.07.047>
9. SiegeGG. (n.d.). Ubisoft working to implement “every solution available” to mitigate heat issues in practice and playing areas at Berlin Major. <https://siege.gg/news/ubisoft-working-to-implement-every-solution-available-to-mitigate-heat-issues-in-practice-and-playing-areas-at-berlin-major>

APPENDIX

1. How often do you use a gaming PC? (Daily / Several times a week / Weekly / Less often)
2. Where is your setup? (Dedicated room / Bedroom / Shared living space / Gaming cafe or lounge / Other)
3. What are the approximate room size and window orientation? (Respondent estimate)
4. What is your typical session length? (Under 2 hr / 2–4 hr / 4–6 hr / Over 6 hr)
5. Where is the PC placed? (Open desk / Under desk / Open shelf / Enclosed cabinet / Other)
6. Which cooling methods do you use? (Air conditioning / Ceiling fan / Portable fan / Natural ventilation / None / Other)
7. How do you feel right now in this room? (Cold / Cool / Slightly cool / Neutral / Slightly warm / Warm / Hot)
8. How satisfied are you with the thermal comfort of the room? (1 = very dissatisfied to 5 = very satisfied)
9. Does the room feel warmer after a long session? (Never / Rarely / Sometimes / Often / Always)
10. Does direct sunlight warm the room during your usual hours? (Yes / No / Unsure)
11. Which problem is most noticeable? (Warm room / Stale air / Uneven cooling / Hot PC exhaust / Noise / Other)
12. Have you noticed performance drops or rising fan noise during hot periods? (Yes / No / Unsure)
13. What one change would most improve comfort in your gaming room? (Open-ended)