



Generative Artificial Intelligence, Large Language Models, and Intelligent Assessment Systems: Implications for India

Ajay Solanki¹ Dr. Aprajita Sharma²

¹ Ph.D. Scholar, ² Head of Department

¹⁻² Department of English, Barkatullah University, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

Abstract

Generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) and large language models (LLMs) are reshaping work, public infrastructure, healthcare, enterprise operations, linguistic accessibility, and scientific discovery. This paper examines the implications of these technologies for India, with particular attention to employment, AI governance, productivity measurement, digital payments, medical digital pathology, enterprise adoption, sovereign AI infrastructure, and AI-enabled scientific research. The discussion challenges the assumption that artificial intelligence will simply produce widespread job destruction and instead emphasizes the changing composition of skills and the growing importance of digital-physical hybrid work. It further argues that India's strength in population-scale digital public infrastructure can support AI diffusion, but that deployment capability must be complemented by foundational model capacity, shared compute, data access, research infrastructure, and appropriate governance. The paper also identifies human-in-the-loop supervision, explainability, multilingual capability, and institutional adaptation as critical conditions for responsible and effective adoption. Finally, it considers AI-enabled scientific discovery as a strategic dimension of technological sovereignty.

Keywords

Generative AI; Large Language Models; Artificial Intelligence; India; Digital Public Infrastructure; AI Governance; Digital Pathology; Scientific Discovery; Multilingual AI; Enterprise AI

1. Introduction

Generative AI and large language models are increasingly becoming general-purpose technologies with effects extending beyond text generation into decision support, software engineering, public services, healthcare, infrastructure management, and scientific research. The source material frames this transformation through an Indian policy and deployment perspective, emphasizing the difference between automation of cognitive tasks and replacement of human workers. It also highlights the institutional changes required before the benefits of AI become visible at scale.

The paper develops this discussion around seven interconnected themes: employment and skills; governance and foundational AI capability; productivity and organizational transformation; experience-oriented digital public infrastructure; medical AI; enterprise deployment of GenAI; and India's emerging sovereign AI and scientific-discovery ecosystem.



2. Artificial Intelligence, Employment, and the Changing Nature of Work

The widespread fear that artificial intelligence necessarily produces mass unemployment is questioned by the source through the example of the global energy sector. International Energy Agency data cited in the source indicate that the global energy workforce reached 76 million in 2024 even as AI adoption accelerated. Energy infrastructure continues to require physical, site-specific human activity in transmission, smart grids, nuclear facilities, and renewable installations. AI can improve predictive maintenance, grid optimization, analysis, and coordination, but technicians remain necessary to diagnose, repair, and operate physical assets.

The implication for India is particularly significant because the country's energy transition and target of more than 500 GW of installed capacity create continuing requirements for designers, engineers, inspectors, and operators. The central constraint is therefore presented as a skills shortage rather than a simple shortage of jobs. Reskilling can help workers transition into renewable energy, storage, grid systems, and advanced manufacturing. The emerging workforce is likely to require hybrid competencies combining domain engineering with data, sensors, and automation.

3. AI Governance and India's Foundational Capability

India's approach to AI governance is characterized in the source as emphasizing responsible use, digital public infrastructure, and voluntary guardrails rather than highly restrictive regulation. This approach may support broad access to AI applications, but the source identifies a structural limitation: policy attention can become concentrated on downstream deployment while foundational capabilities remain underdeveloped.

Legal uncertainty surrounding data use, copyright, and liability can discourage large-scale foundation-model training, particularly among startups and smaller organizations in regulated sectors. Research institutions also face constraints involving high-performance computing, structured datasets, and regulatory approvals. The source identifies initiatives such as AIRAWAT as promising while arguing for greater transparency and accessibility. It proposes targeted data access frameworks, shared high-performance computing pools, supervised sandboxes, and lightweight certification mechanisms as components of a strategy for technological autonomy.

4. Artificial Intelligence and the Measurement of Productivity

The source argues that apparent stagnation in conventional productivity indicators can create a misleading impression about AI's economic effects. AI frequently improves decision speed, coordination, analytical quality, error reduction, drafting, feedback cycles, and rework without necessarily increasing physical output per hour. Conventional productivity measures were largely developed around industrial production and therefore may not capture these cognitive and organizational gains adequately.

The source further notes that field experiments have found disproportionate benefits for lower-performing workers, potentially reducing performance variation and raising baseline reliability. In India's IT services sector, AI-assisted coding and testing can shorten delivery schedules while



revenues remain constrained by contractual and pricing arrangements. Accordingly, AI's longer-term impact may become visible through organizational efficiency, coordination, quality, and resilience rather than through immediate increases in aggregate output.

5. AI, Digital Payments, and Experience-Oriented Public Infrastructure

India's digital payment systems demonstrate how technically successful infrastructure can still produce poor user experiences. The source describes user anxiety arising when transactions are debited without immediate confirmation and when fragmented banking, gateway, and clearing layers make it difficult for users to reconstruct what happened during a transaction.

The proposed response is an experience-oriented layer within India's digital public infrastructure. AI could correlate signals, timestamps, and error codes and convert transaction states into plain-language explanations. On-device intelligence could provide rapid, privacy-aware assistance. Because India is linguistically diverse, effective AI support must move beyond literal translation toward multilingual natural-language generation that recognizes localized intent and financial context. This reframes infrastructure from merely enabling transactions toward supporting complete and understandable user journeys.

6. Why AI Governance Cannot Simply Replicate the UPI Model

The source distinguishes artificial intelligence from digital payments as a governance problem. UPI benefited from a bounded engineering problem, standardized protocols, interoperability, and centrally enforceable participation. Frontier AI, by contrast, depends on a fluid global capability stack involving specialized compute hardware, software frameworks, dynamic data pipelines, and international flows of highly skilled talent.

Rigid licensing or centralized national-champion strategies may therefore fail to generate frontier capability and could reduce competitive pressure. The source does not reject public investment; rather, it emphasizes public support for basic research, shared compute, open data, foundational skills, market enablement, global integration, and broad innovation diffusion.

7. Generative AI in Medical Digital Pathology

Medical AI provides a high-impact example of the transition from subjective visual assessment to computational analysis. Digital pathology combines high-resolution whole-slide imaging with deep learning to quantify cellular architecture and standardize aspects of cancer diagnosis. Because critical healthcare decisions require trustworthy evidence, explainability is identified as a central requirement rather than an optional feature.

Digital pathology can reduce geographical barriers by enabling remote consultation, secondary expert review, and telepathology. Automated quality-control systems can identify poor staining, blur, tissue folds, and other artifacts before diagnosis, while segmentation can reduce repetitive screening tasks and allow pathologists to focus on complex judgments. In India, where specialist capacity is concentrated in metropolitan centers, digital pathology networks could support more equitable diagnostic access and indigenous development of diagnostic technologies.

8. Enterprise Adoption of Generative AI and Large Language Models



The transition from impressive AI prototypes to dependable enterprise systems is presented as a major challenge. LLMs may produce sophisticated code and reports while failing relatively simple reasoning checks. Consequently, organizational confidence can exceed actual system reliability. Enterprise value depends on workflow redesign, cleaner proprietary data, employee training, and robust supervision. The source highlights autonomous AI agents as an emerging direction, while also identifying the hidden costs of supervision. Secondary models and human-in-the-loop architectures can monitor interactions, detect hallucinations, and route anomalous cases to specialists. Structured legal and administrative tasks are more amenable to automation than ambiguous processes dependent on tacit knowledge. Natural-language-driven software development also creates risks of technical debt and unverified code, shifting bottlenecks toward testing, auditing, and security compliance.

9. India's Public-Led AI Ecosystem and Vernacular Accessibility

The source describes an emerging Indian AI ecosystem built around digital public infrastructure, shared high-performance computing, open datasets, and vernacular accessibility. It identifies collaboration among the IndiaAI Mission under MeitY, academic institutions such as IIT Madras, and specialized research organizations as a model for sovereign foundation-model development. The source states that India is aggregating a national compute pool of more than 34,000 GPUs and developing AI Kosh as a repository of curated public-interest datasets. It also points to AI-enabled applications in Aadhaar authentication, Hello UPI, healthcare documentation, and multilingual services. Bhashini and the National Language Translation Mission are presented as central to vernacular accessibility, with resources covering 22 scheduled Indian languages. These efforts illustrate how AI can extend digital public infrastructure into language and cognitive services.

10. AI and the Future of Scientific Discovery

Artificial intelligence is also changing the research process itself. The source discusses the integration of supercomputing, foundation models, autonomous laboratories, robotics, hypothesis generation, experimentation, and real-time data analysis. The United States Department of Energy's Genesis Mission is cited as an example of this emerging model of scientific acceleration, with the source describing potential acceleration of discovery cycles by factors of 20 to 100 in areas such as materials science, grid optimization, and nuclear simulation.

For India, the strategic issue is technological sovereignty. Without domestic research infrastructure, there is a risk that Indian institutions contribute data and talent while foreign platforms control core discovery systems. The source argues for increased public R&D investment, large-scale compute as essential infrastructure, automated laboratory capacity, and mission-driven research. It cites a movement from historic public R&D investment levels of approximately 0.65% toward 0.7% of GDP as part of this modernization agenda.

11. Discussion

Taken together, the source material presents AI not as a single automation tool but as a general-purpose technological layer affecting physical infrastructure, knowledge work, public services,



healthcare, enterprise organization, language access, and research. Three cross-cutting principles emerge. First, human capability remains central: AI changes tasks and skill requirements more readily than it eliminates the need for human judgment and physical intervention. Second, institutional adaptation is essential: compute, data, workflow redesign, governance, and training determine whether technical capability becomes productive capacity. Third, India's comparative advantage in population-scale digital infrastructure can be strengthened when combined with foundational AI capability and vernacular accessibility.

The source also reveals a consistent need for trust. Explainable pathology, transaction-state narratives, human-in-the-loop enterprise systems, fairness certification, and responsible governance all seek to make AI systems inspectable and accountable. Thus, the future of AI adoption depends not only on model performance but also on the surrounding institutional architecture that allows users and professionals to understand, supervise, and contest system outputs.

12. Conclusion

Generative AI and LLMs are transforming the organization of work and the architecture of digital services. The evidence and arguments presented in the source suggest that the central challenge for India is not simply preventing job displacement, but preparing workers and institutions for a technology environment in which hybrid digital-physical skills, AI supervision, data capabilities, and domain expertise become increasingly valuable. AI adoption can improve productivity even when conventional output measures fail to register the full extent of cognitive and coordination gains.

India's future AI strategy therefore requires a balance between responsible deployment and the development of foundational capability. Shared compute, accessible datasets, appropriate legal frameworks, research infrastructure, multilingual systems, human oversight, and explainability are critical. In healthcare and public infrastructure, trustworthy AI can improve access and user experience; in enterprise environments, disciplined workflow integration can convert prototypes into durable productivity gains; and in science, sovereign AI infrastructure can shorten discovery cycles and strengthen technological resilience. The overarching conclusion is that India's AI opportunity will depend on its ability to connect population-scale deployment with foundational research, skilled human capital, and institutions capable of governing rapidly evolving intelligent systems.

References

1. Drucker, P. F. (Referenced in the source material). Insight concerning the application of yesterday's logic to fundamentally new technologies.
2. International Energy Agency (IEA). (2024). Global energy employment data cited in the source material: global energy workforce reached approximately 76 million.
3. Government of India, Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY). IndiaAI Mission. Initiative referenced in the source material.



International Journal of Research and Technology (IJRT)

International Open-Access, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Online Journal

ISSN (Print): 2321-7510 | ISSN (Online): 2321-7529

| An ISO 9001:2015 Certified Journal |

4. AIRAWAT. National artificial intelligence high-performance computing initiative referenced in the source material.
5. AI Kosh. National repository of curated public-interest datasets referenced in the source material.
6. Bhashini / National Language Translation Mission. Vernacular AI and language-technology initiatives referenced in the source material.
7. United States Department of Energy. Genesis Mission. AI-enabled scientific discovery initiative referenced in the source material.
8. Ayushman Bharat Digital Mission (ABDM). Digital health infrastructure referenced in the source material.
9. Open Healthcare Network. Healthcare digital infrastructure referenced in the source material.