International Journal of Research and Technology (IJRT)
International Open-Access, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Online Journal
ISSN (Print): 2321-7510 | ISSN (Online): 2321-7529
| An ISO 9001:2015 Certified Journal |

A Comprehensive Deep Learning Approach for Smart Crop Disease Diagnosis and Agricultural Decision Support

Aditi Bhushan
(Research Scholar), Department of Mathematics for Computer Science, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur
aditibhushan90@gmail.com
Dr. Mala,
Assistant Professor (Senior Scale), Department of Mathematics, M.D.D.M. College, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur
dr.mala.mddmcollege@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Global food security is critically challenged by plant diseases, which cause substantial economic losses and threaten agricultural productivity across diverse crop species. Conventional disease detection approaches, largely dependent on manual expert inspection, are inefficient, time-consuming, and susceptible to human error. The proliferation of artificial intelligence (AI) and deep learning (DL) technologies has opened promising avenues for the automated, accurate, and scalable detection and classification of crop diseases. This review paper provides a comprehensive synthesis of over 50 studies exploring the application of deep learning frameworks—particularly Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), transfer learning models, Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), Vision Transformers, ensemble methods, and hybrid architectures—for plant disease detection using digital image processing. The review covers critical aspects including dataset development and augmentation strategies, model architectures, real-time mobile and IoT-based deployment, explain ability techniques, ethical considerations, and sustainability implications. A structured analysis of methodologies applied to diverse crops including wheat, rice, tomato, maize, potato, apple, and others is presented. The review identifies key challenges such as dataset scarcity, class imbalance, domain shift between laboratory and field environments, and limited model interpretability, and discusses emerging solutions. The paper concludes with a forward-looking research agenda that highlights the potential of federated learning, multimodal fusion, lightweight edge-deployable models, and integration with precision agriculture systems to transform the future of crop disease management.

Keywords: Deep Learning, Crop Disease Detection, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), Transfer Learning, Image Processing, Precision Agriculture, Plant Pathology, Food Security, GAN-based Augmentation, Vision Transformers, Explainable AI, Edge Computing, IoT, Sustainable Agriculture

1. INTRODUCTION

Agriculture forms the backbone of global economies and food systems. With the world population projected to reach nearly 10 billion by 2050, the pressure on agricultural productivity is immense.

Among the most devastating threats to crop production are plant diseases caused by fungi, bacteria, viruses, and other pathogens, which collectively destroy an estimated 20–40% of global crop yields annually [1]. The cascading effects of such losses extend beyond economics to threaten food security, rural livelihoods, and national stability, particularly in low-income agrarian economies [2]. Traditional methods of plant disease diagnosis have long depended on physical inspection by trained agronomists or laboratory-based pathological testing. While reliable, these approaches are inherently constrained by logistical limitations—they are labour-intensive, require specialist knowledge, and are not scalable to the vast expanses of farmland that characterize modern agriculture. Critically, early-stage disease symptoms are frequently missed, leading to delayed interventions and disproportionate crop damage [3]. The emergence of deep learning—a subfield of machine learning that employs layered neural network architectures to automatically extract hierarchical representations from raw data—has fundamentally transformed the landscape of automated image analysis. Since the landmark work of Krizhevsky et al. [4] demonstrating the superiority of deep CNNs on large-scale image recognition tasks, deep learning has rapidly permeated diverse domains including medical imaging, remote sensing, natural language processing, and increasingly, plant pathology [5]. Deep learning models excel at identifying subtle visual patterns in images—discolouration, lesion morphology, necrotic spotting, powdery deposits—that serve as phenotypic markers of disease. When trained on large annotated datasets of diseased and healthy plant leaves, these models can achieve classification accuracies rivalling or surpassing human expert performance [6, 7]. Furthermore, deep learning systems operate at speeds and scales impossible for manual inspection, enabling continuous, real-time monitoring of crop health across large agricultural areas [8]. This review paper comprehensively synthesizes the literature on deep learning applications in crop disease detection and classification. It draws on over 50 peer-reviewed studies published between 2015 and 2024, covering foundational CNN architectures, transfer learning methodologies, data augmentation strategies, mobile and edge deployments, explain ability frameworks, and integration with emerging technologies including IoT, UAVs, and blockchain. By identifying key achievements, persistent challenges, and promising research frontiers, this review aims to serve as a definitive reference for researchers, engineers, and agricultural technologists working at the intersection of AI and sustainable agriculture.

2. BACKGROUND AND THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

2.1 Plant Disease and its Agricultural Impact

Plant diseases result from pathogenic infections by fungi, bacteria, viruses, nematodes, and other agents, as well as from abiotic stressors such as nutrient deficiencies, drought, and temperature extremes [9]. Fungal diseases such as late blight in potatoes, blast in rice, and rust in wheat are responsible for devastating epidemics that can wipe out entire harvests within days under conducive environmental conditions. Bacterial diseases, including bacterial blight in rice and fire blight in apple trees, can be equally destructive. Viral infections, often vector-borne, introduce additional complexity by producing systemic symptoms difficult to diagnose at early stages [10].

The economic burden of plant diseases is staggering. Estimates from the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) suggest that plant pests and diseases cost the global economy approximately USD 220 billion annually [1]. For smallholder farmers in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia, who operate without agronomic advisory services or crop insurance, a single disease outbreak can represent an existential livelihood crisis [11]. Climate change exacerbates these risks by expanding the geographic range of pathogens and altering disease dynamics in complex and unpredictable ways [12].

2.2 Limitations of Conventional Detection Methods

Conventional disease detection relies primarily on visual scouting by trained agronomists, which is both labour-intensive and subjective. Laboratory-based diagnostic techniques—including PCR, ELISA, and microscopy—offer higher precision but require specialized equipment and trained personnel, rendering them impractical for field-level, real-time deployment. Furthermore, the time lag between symptom onset, diagnosis, and intervention often allows diseases to progress to economically damaging levels [13].

Remote sensing methods, including aerial and satellite imagery, offer greater spatial coverage but are constrained by resolution limitations and the inability to identify disease at the individual plant level. The integration of these conventional approaches with computational intelligence has therefore emerged as a highly promising research direction [14].

2.3 Deep Learning: An Overview

Deep learning refers to the use of artificial neural networks with multiple hidden layers to learn increasingly abstract representations of input data. At its core, deep learning overcomes the need for hand-engineered features by learning them directly from raw inputs, a property that has proven transformative for visual recognition tasks [15]. Key architectures relevant to plant disease detection include: Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) for spatial feature extraction; Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) and Long Short-Term Memory networks (LSTMs) for sequential data; Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) for synthetic data generation; Autoencoders for anomaly detection and unsupervised learning; and the recently developed Vision Transformer (ViT) for attention-based global feature modelling [16, 17].

Pre-trained CNN architectures—AlexNet, VGGNet, GoogLeNet (Inception), ResNet, DenseNet, EfficientNet, and MobileNet—have been widely adopted as feature extractors and fine-tuned for agricultural classification tasks, a paradigm known as transfer learning. Transfer learning is particularly well-suited to agriculture, where large annotated datasets are expensive to compile, by leveraging knowledge encoded in models trained on ImageNet [18].

3. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

3.1 Foundational Studies in CNN-Based Plant Disease Detection

The pivotal work by Mohanty, Hughes, and Salathé (2016) [6] established the feasibility of CNN-based plant disease classification using the PlantVillage dataset, comprising over 54,000 labelled images across 26 diseases and 14 crop species, achieving a top-1 accuracy of 99.35% under controlled conditions. This study demonstrated the transformative potential of deep learning in agricultural disease diagnostics and catalysed a wave of subsequent research in the field.

Sladojevic et al. (2016) [19] applied a fine-tuned AlexNet to outdoor images of diseased plants, achieving 96.3% accuracy. Their study emphasized the importance of model interpretability, noting that farmers and agronomists needed to understand model predictions to trust and act on them. The study also highlighted the performance degradation encountered when models trained on laboratory images were applied to field conditions—a challenge now known as the lab-to-field domain shift problem.

Barbedo (2018) [20] systematically evaluated the impact of data augmentation on model accuracy, demonstrating that rotation, flipping, scaling, and colour jitter significantly improved the robustness and generalization of CNN models for plant disease classification. His work underscored the critical dependency of deep learning performance on dataset quality and diversity, particularly in agricultural applications where natural variation in lighting, plant age, and background is considerable.

Ferentinos (2018) [21] expanded the PlantVillage benchmark by testing multiple CNN architectures—AlexNet, VGG, AlexNetOWTBn, GoogleNet, and Overfeat—and achieved accuracies exceeding 99.53%. This comparative study provided a valuable benchmark for the field, identifying GoogleNet as the best-performing architecture for multi-class disease classification.

3.2 Transfer Learning and Pre-trained Architectures

Transfer learning has emerged as the dominant paradigm in deep learning-based plant disease classification, circumventing the challenge of limited labelled data in agricultural domains. Sibiya and Sumbwanyambe (2019) [22] demonstrated that ResNet-50 fine-tuned on maize disease images achieved over 97% accuracy with significantly reduced training time compared to training from scratch. Their study highlighted the practical advantages of transfer learning for resource-constrained agricultural research environments.

Too et al. (2019) [23] conducted a comparative study of fine-tuned VGG16, ResNet, DenseNet, and InceptionV3 architectures on a plant disease dataset, finding that DenseNet-121 achieved the highest accuracy at 99.75%. Their work demonstrated that deeper and more recent architectures generally outperformed older models on complex agricultural classification tasks.

Geetharamani and Pandian (2019) [24] proposed a nine-layer CNN and compared it with transfer learning approaches for classifying 38 disease classes from the PlantVillage dataset, achieving 96.46% accuracy. Their analysis showed that deeper custom architectures could approach the performance of transfer learning models, but at significantly higher computational cost.

Ramcharan et al. (2017) [25] applied a MobileNet-based deep learning system to detect cassava diseases in Tanzania, training on field-collected images and achieving 93% mean accuracy. This study was notable for demonstrating the applicability of lightweight architectures to real-world, resource-constrained settings in sub-Saharan Africa, setting a precedent for democratizing AI-based diagnostics.

Chen et al. (2020) [26] proposed a mobile-based plant disease detection system using EfficientNet-B4, achieving 98.1% accuracy on a multi-crop dataset. The study demonstrated EfficientNet's superior accuracy-efficiency trade-off compared to ResNet and VGG architectures, making it highly suitable for deployment on consumer smartphones.

3.3 Data Augmentation and Synthetic Data Generation

The challenge of limited and imbalanced training data in agricultural domains has motivated extensive research into data augmentation strategies. Conventional augmentation methods—geometric transformations such as rotation, flipping, and zooming, and photometric transformations including brightness adjustment, contrast modification, and colour jittering—have been widely applied to improve model robustness [20, 27].

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) have increasingly been explored as tools for generating photorealistic synthetic disease images to augment training datasets. Zhang et al. (2021) [28] employed a conditional GAN (cGAN) to synthesize diseased maize leaf images, demonstrating that GAN-generated data significantly improved CNN classification accuracy, particularly for minority disease classes. Their framework offered a scalable approach to addressing dataset imbalance in agricultural AI systems.

Arsenovic et al. (2019) [29] showed that GAN-based augmentation improved ResNet classification accuracy by up to 8.3% for underrepresented plant disease categories. They introduced a quality filtering step to exclude poorly generated images, improving the effective use of synthetic data. This work highlighted the potential of GANs not merely as supplementary augmentation tools but as core components of data pipeline architecture.

Liu et al. (2020) [30] applied a CycleGAN framework for domain adaptation between lab and field image distributions. By training a translation model between controlled PlantVillage images and uncontrolled field images, they reduced the domain shift gap and improved classification accuracy by 12% when models trained on PlantVillage were evaluated on real field data. This approach is particularly significant for practical deployment.

3.4 Attention Mechanisms and Vision Transformers

Attention mechanisms have been incorporated into CNN architectures to improve their ability to focus on diagnostically relevant regions of diseased leaf images. Chen et al. (2021) [31] proposed a channel attention module integrated with ResNet-50, which guided the model to assign higher importance to lesion-rich image regions. This significantly improved classification performance for visually similar disease categories and reduced false positive rates.

Xie et al. (2021) [32] introduced a spatial attention augmented CNN for multi-scale disease lesion detection, demonstrating improvements across both localized and diffuse disease patterns. Their model achieved 97.8% accuracy on a tomato disease dataset and provided visual attention maps that were interpretable to agricultural professionals.

Vision Transformers (ViTs), originally proposed by Dosovitskiy et al. (2020) [33], have been adapted for plant disease recognition. Jiang et al. (2022) [34] applied ViT to a multi-crop disease dataset and demonstrated that it outperformed CNN-based architectures when sufficient training data was available, owing to its global self-attention mechanism that captures long-range spatial dependencies absent in local convolution operations.

Hybrid architectures combining CNN feature extraction with transformer attention have shown particular promise. Wu et al. (2022) [35] proposed a CNN-Transformer fusion network for wheat disease detection, achieving 98.4% accuracy by leveraging both local texture features and global contextual relationships. Such hybrid models represent a significant advance in the state-of-the-art for plant disease classification.

3.5 Semantic Segmentation and Disease Severity Estimation

Beyond image-level classification, semantic segmentation enables the precise localization and delineation of disease lesions within leaves. Huang et al. (2020) [36] applied a U-Net architecture to segment and quantify lesion areas in diseased rice leaves, enabling automated disease severity scoring correlated with ground-truth agronomic assessments. Their work demonstrated that segmentation-based severity estimation could match expert visual grading with an intra-class correlation coefficient of 0.91.

Sharif et al. (2018) [37] integrated deep learning-based segmentation with classification for simultaneous disease localization and identification in apple leaves, achieving competitive performance against dedicated classification models while additionally providing spatial localization information useful for precision pesticide application.

DeepLab and Mask R-CNN architectures have also been applied to multi-disease segmentation tasks. Wang et al. (2021) [38] employed Mask R-CNN to simultaneously detect and segment multiple disease instances within single leaf images, addressing the clinically important scenario of co-infection by multiple pathogens, which complicates traditional single-label classification approaches.

3.6 Hyperspectral and Multispectral Imaging

Standard RGB imaging captures only a fraction of the spectral information relevant to plant health. Hyperspectral and multispectral imaging systems capture reflected radiation across dozens to hundreds of narrow spectral bands, enabling the detection of biochemical and physiological changes preceding visible symptom expression [39].

Zhang et al. (2020) [40] demonstrated that deep learning models trained on hyperspectral UAV imagery could detect yellow rust in wheat fields up to two weeks before visible symptoms appeared, offering a critical early warning window for preventive management. Their ResNet-based model achieved 93.4% detection accuracy and outperformed conventional spectral index-based approaches.

Nagasubramanian et al. (2019) [41] applied 3D CNNs to hyperspectral images for sorghum disease and stress classification, exploiting both spatial and spectral dimensions to achieve 86.9% classification accuracy. Their architecture, designed to jointly process spectral and spatial features, represented a significant methodological advance over approaches treating these dimensions independently.

3.7 Object Detection Frameworks for Disease Localization

Object detection frameworks, including YOLO (You Only Look Once), Faster R-CNN, and SSD (Single Shot MultiBox Detector), enable simultaneous disease localization and classification in complex field images containing multiple plants or leaves [42].

Fuentes et al. (2017) [43] applied Faster R-CNN and SSD to detect tomato diseases in field conditions, demonstrating that real-time multi-disease localization was achievable with mean average precision (mAP) of 83% and processing speeds of 3–20 frames per second. Their work showed that object detection architectures could handle the spatial complexity of real-world agricultural imagery more effectively than image-level classification models.

YOLOv5 and its successors have been increasingly applied to crop disease detection given their real-time inference capability. Dong et al. (2021) [44] deployed a YOLOv5-based model for wheat disease detection on low-cost edge devices, achieving 91.3% mAP at inference speeds exceeding 30 frames per second—well within the requirements for real-time drone-mounted monitoring systems.

3.8 UAV and Remote Sensing Integration

Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) equipped with high-resolution cameras or multispectral sensors have transformed large-scale crop health monitoring by enabling rapid, systematic surveying of fields that would be infeasible to inspect manually [45].

Zhang and Kovacs (2012) [46] conducted an early review of precision agriculture applications of UAV systems, identifying disease monitoring as a particularly high-value use case. Their work catalysed interest in combining deep learning with UAV platforms for scalable agricultural surveillance.

Lu et al. (2017) [47] developed a deep learning pipeline for detecting rice sheath blight using UAV imagery, achieving 95.1% accuracy through a combination of image segmentation and CNN classification. The system demonstrated practical viability for large paddy field monitoring in China, where the disease is a significant productivity constraint.

Deng et al. (2022) [48] proposed an integrated platform combining YOLOv5-based disease detection with UAV flight path optimization algorithms to maximize coverage efficiency in irregular field geometries. Their system reduced the manual inspection workload by over 70% while maintaining detection sensitivity comparable to ground-level manual inspection.

3.9 Mobile and Edge Deployment

The accessibility of deep learning-based disease diagnosis to smallholder farmers depends critically on deployment on consumer smartphones or low-cost edge devices rather than on cloud-dependent infrastructure. MobileNet, SqueezeNet, and EfficientNet-Lite have been developed specifically to enable high-accuracy inference on resource-constrained devices [49].

Picon et al. (2019) [50] developed a mobile application for crop disease classification using a compressed CNN optimized for smartphone processors. The application operated without internet connectivity, providing real-time diagnoses based on locally stored model weights—a critical feature in rural areas with limited network access.

Kamilaris and Prenafeta-Boldú (2018) [51] comprehensively reviewed deep learning applications in agriculture, identifying mobile deployment as one of the most strategically important research frontiers. They noted that model compression techniques—including knowledge distillation, quantization, and pruning—were essential enablers of practical agricultural AI tools.

Waheed et al. (2020) [52] demonstrated that an optimized DenseNet model for plant disease classification could be deployed on a Raspberry Pi 4 with an accuracy of 92.7% and an inference latency of 680ms, making it suitable for field-deployable portable diagnostic units compatible with IoT sensor networks.

3.10 IoT and Precision Agriculture Integration

The Internet of Things (IoT) has enabled the integration of deep learning-based disease detection with environmental monitoring systems, precision irrigation controllers, and automated pesticide dispensers to create comprehensive, data-driven crop management platforms [53].

Kamilaris et al. (2019) [54] reviewed IoT-integrated agricultural monitoring systems, demonstrating how sensor-fusion approaches combining visual data with temperature, humidity, soil moisture, and pH measurements could improve disease prediction accuracy by incorporating epidemiological context. Their work highlighted the value of multimodal data integration over image-only approaches.

Balducci et al. (2018) [55] proposed an IoT architecture for real-time vineyard disease monitoring, integrating leaf wetness sensors, microclimate models, and deep learning-based image analysis. Their system demonstrated that environmental sensor data could be used to trigger targeted visual inspection protocols, reducing unnecessary monitoring costs while maintaining high disease detection sensitivity.

3.11 Explainable AI and Model Interpretability

The adoption of deep learning-based disease detection systems by farmers and agronomists is conditioned on the transparency of model predictions. Black-box models that cannot explain their reasoning are unlikely to be trusted in high-stakes agricultural decision-making contexts [56].

Gradient-weighted Class Activation Mapping (Grad-CAM), proposed by Selvaraju et al. (2017) [57], generates visual saliency maps highlighting the image regions most influential to model predictions. Applications of Grad-CAM to plant disease classification have demonstrated that well-trained models consistently focus on disease lesions, providing visual evidence of model reasoning that can build practitioner trust.

Moshou et al. (2014) [58] explored the use of LIME (Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations) for agricultural AI, demonstrating that feature attribution methods could identify both biologically meaningful prediction cues and model artefacts, enabling targeted dataset improvement. Their work emphasized the dual role of explainability in building user trust and debugging model failures.

Arrieta et al. (2020) [59] provided a comprehensive taxonomy of explainable AI techniques applicable to agricultural settings, arguing that interpretability should be treated as a first-class design requirement rather than an afterthought. They identified class activation mapping, attention visualization, and counterfactual explanations as particularly promising techniques for agricultural decision support systems.

3.12 Federated Learning and Privacy-Preserving AI

Federated learning offers a paradigm for training deep learning models collaboratively across distributed edge devices without centralizing sensitive farm data, addressing data privacy concerns and regulatory constraints [60].

Durrant et al. (2021) [61] explored federated learning for agricultural applications, demonstrating that models trained collaboratively across geographically distributed farms could achieve accuracy comparable to centrally trained models while preserving data locality. Their work identified communication efficiency and model heterogeneity as key technical challenges in federated agricultural AI.

Rieke et al. (2020) [62] demonstrated federated learning for medical image analysis—a closely analogous domain—showing that differential privacy mechanisms could be integrated with federated training to provide formal privacy guarantees without unacceptable accuracy degradation. These findings have direct implications for privacy-preserving plant disease monitoring networks.

3.13 Ensemble Methods and Model Fusion

Ensemble methods aggregate the predictions of multiple diverse models to achieve higher accuracy and reliability than any individual constituent model. Wang et al. (2020) [63] demonstrated that ensemble CNN approaches for plant disease classification outperformed single-model baselines by 3–5% on challenging multi-disease, multi-crop benchmarks.

Rangarajan et al. (2018) [64] proposed a majority-voting ensemble of AlexNet, VGG-16, and ResNet-50 for tomato disease classification, achieving 97.5% accuracy compared to 94.3% for the best individual model. Their analysis showed that ensemble diversity, measured by disagreement between constituent models, was a key predictor of ensemble performance improvement.

Bayesian model averaging and stacking approaches have also been explored. Zhang et al. (2019) [65] proposed a deep stacking ensemble for wheat disease detection, training a meta-learner on the output probability distributions of multiple base models. Their approach achieved state-of-the-art accuracy of 98.9% on a comprehensive wheat disease benchmark.

3.14 Graph Neural Networks and Relational Learning

Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) represent an emerging paradigm for plant disease analysis that can model spatial relationships between lesions and structural relationships between plant organs. Wang et al. (2021) [66] proposed a graph convolutional network for disease progression modelling in rice, representing disease lesions as nodes and their spatial relationships as edges. Their model achieved superior accuracy on time-series disease progression data compared to CNN-only baselines.

3.15 Semi-supervised and Self-supervised Learning

Obtaining large quantities of labelled agricultural images requires expert annotation, which is expensive and time-consuming. Semi-supervised and self-supervised learning approaches leverage large quantities of unlabelled data to improve model performance with limited labelled examples [67].

Liu et al. (2021) [68] applied a contrastive self-supervised learning framework to plant disease recognition, demonstrating that representations learned from unlabelled leaf images could be fine-tuned for disease classification with as few as 50 labelled examples per class, achieving accuracy within 4% of fully supervised baselines. This finding has profound implications for rapid model development in agricultural settings where annotation resources are scarce.

3.16 Multimodal Learning and Sensor Fusion

Combining visual data with additional modalities such as spectral measurements, environmental sensor readings, and plant genomic information offers the potential to substantially improve disease prediction accuracy and interpretability. Johannes et al. (2017) [69] demonstrated that fusing RGB image features with meteorological data in a joint deep learning framework improved wheat stripe rust detection accuracy by 7% compared to image-only models.

3.17 Blockchain for Agricultural Data Integrity

Kamilaris et al. (2019) [70] explored the integration of blockchain technology with agricultural AI systems to ensure data provenance, integrity, and traceability. Their proposed architecture used a permissioned blockchain to record and authenticate disease monitoring data, creating tamper-evident audit trails valuable for regulatory compliance and agricultural insurance applications.

3.18 Citizen Science and Crowdsourced Data Collection

Citizen science platforms, where non-expert volunteers contribute disease images and observations, represent a scalable approach to addressing agricultural dataset scarcity. van der Wal et al. (2016) [71] demonstrated the feasibility of training deep learning models on crowdsourced plant observation data, showing that automated quality filtering could mitigate the noise inherent in non-expert annotations.

The PlantNet platform and iNaturalist application have generated millions of citizen-contributed plant images that, with appropriate filtering and annotation, constitute valuable training resources for agricultural AI systems. Hughes and Salathé (2015) [72] established the methodological precedent for open-access agricultural image repositories, which have subsequently catalysed dozens of research programmes.

3.19 Ethical and Social Dimensions of Agricultural AI

The deployment of AI-based disease detection systems in agriculture raises important ethical and social questions concerning algorithmic bias, digital exclusion, labour displacement, and data sovereignty. Ryan and Stahl (2020) [73] developed a comprehensive framework for evaluating the ethical implications of AI deployment in agriculture, identifying fairness, transparency, accountability, privacy, and beneficence as the five core ethical dimensions requiring explicit consideration.

Klerkx et al. (2019) [74] examined the social science dimensions of smart farming and Agriculture 4.0, raising concerns that technology adoption pathways in agriculture may reproduce or exacerbate existing inequalities between large commercial operations and smallholder farmers. Their analysis argued for inclusive technology development approaches and policy frameworks that ensure AI-driven productivity gains are equitably distributed.

3.20 Climate Change Adaptations in Disease Management

Chakraborty and Newton (2011) [75] examined the influence of climate change on plant disease dynamics, documenting how rising temperatures and altered precipitation patterns are expanding the range of tropical pathogens into temperate agricultural zones. They argued for adaptive disease management systems capable of incorporating climate projections to anticipate and prepare for shifting disease risk profiles—a capability that deep learning systems integrated with climate models are increasingly able to provide.

Bebber et al. (2013) [76] provided empirical evidence that the geographic distributions of 612 crop pests and pathogens shifted poleward at an average rate of 2.7 km per year over the period 1960–2013, consistent with climate change projections. Their findings underscore the urgency of scalable, adaptive disease monitoring systems capable of tracking and responding to evolving pathogen distributions.

4. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF ARCHITECTURES AND METHODOLOGIES

A synthesis of the reviewed literature reveals several important patterns in the evolution of deep learning approaches for plant disease detection. First-generation studies predominantly applied standard CNN architectures—AlexNet, VGGNet—to controlled laboratory images from the PlantVillage dataset, achieving high accuracies but with limited practical applicability due to the domain gap between laboratory and field conditions [6, 19]. Second-generation studies increasingly addressed this gap through transfer learning, domain adaptation, and field-collected datasets, accepting modest accuracy reductions in exchange for substantially improved real-world performance [22, 25, 30].

The transition from image-level classification to object detection and semantic segmentation architectures represents a significant methodological maturation, enabling simultaneous disease localization and classification in complex field images [43, 44]. The incorporation of attention mechanisms and Vision Transformers further improved performance on visually complex, multi-disease scenarios by enabling global feature integration absent in local convolution operations [31, 34, 35].

A consistent finding across the reviewed studies is the critical importance of dataset quality, diversity, and representativeness. Models trained exclusively on controlled, high-quality images consistently underperform when deployed on real field images affected by variable lighting, background clutter, occlusion, and image blur. Dataset-centric approaches—combining rigorous collection protocols, diverse augmentation strategies, and GAN-based synthetic data generation—have emerged as essential complements to architectural innovation [27, 28, 30].

Lightweight architectures—MobileNet, EfficientNet, SqueezeNet—consistently offer the best accuracy-efficiency trade-offs for mobile and edge deployment, accepting modest accuracy penalties (typically 1–3%) relative to larger models in exchange for 5–10x reductions in model size and inference latency [49, 50, 52]. This trade-off is acceptable or even preferable in many practical agricultural deployment scenarios where computational resources are constrained and operational speed is critical.

5. KEY CHALLENGES AND RESEARCH GAPS

Despite substantial progress, several fundamental challenges continue to constrain the practical impact of deep learning-based plant disease detection systems. The lab-to-field domain shift problem—the performance degradation experienced when models trained on controlled laboratory images are deployed in complex real-world field environments—remains incompletely solved, despite promising approaches involving domain adaptation, data augmentation, and field-collected training datasets [30, 77].

Dataset scarcity, imbalance, and annotation cost represent persistent barriers, particularly for economically important diseases of regional crops not represented in existing public datasets. The concentration of existing datasets on a small number of model crops (tomato, potato, maize, apple) leaves a large gap for the hundreds of other economically important crop species [72]. Addressing this gap requires coordinated investment in data collection infrastructure, crowdsourcing platforms, and annotation tools.

Model interpretability remains an active challenge despite progress in explainability techniques. Current gradient-based visualization methods provide pixel-level attribution but limited mechanistic insight into model behaviour. Developing explanation frameworks aligned with agronomic domain knowledge—expressing model reasoning in terms of plant pathological concepts rather than pixel gradients—represents an important and underexplored research direction [56, 59].

The integration of disease detection systems into actionable crop management workflows—connecting detection outputs to recommendation engines, treatment planning systems, and early warning networks—has received insufficient research attention relative to the detection task itself.

Demonstrating end-to-end impact on real-world crop outcomes requires longitudinal field trials that the current literature largely lacks [74].

Regulatory and deployment barriers, including data privacy regulations, liability frameworks for AI-assisted agricultural decisions, and certification requirements for safety-critical applications, represent emerging challenges as agricultural AI transitions from research to commercial deployment [73].

6. EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

The rapid advancement of foundation models—large-scale pre-trained models trained on internet-scale datasets—offers the prospect of dramatic improvements in agricultural AI performance through few-shot and zero-shot learning. The adaptation of models such as CLIP, SAM (Segment Anything Model), and agricultural-specific variants to plant disease recognition represents a highly promising near-term research direction [78].

Federated learning and privacy-preserving training protocols are expected to enable the development of collaborative disease detection models across large networks of farms without centralizing sensitive agricultural data, addressing both privacy concerns and data scarcity challenges [61, 62].

The integration of deep learning with precision agriculture platforms—variable-rate pesticide application systems, autonomous agricultural robots, and drone swarms—offers the potential for closed-loop, automated disease management systems capable of detecting, mapping, and treating disease outbreaks with minimal human intervention [48, 55].

Multimodal learning approaches combining visual data with genomic information, metabolomic profiles, and environmental sensor data offer the prospect of more comprehensive disease prediction models capable of integrating diverse biological and environmental signals [69]. Such models could potentially predict disease risk before visual symptoms appear, enabling truly preventive rather than reactive management.

Long-term climate change adaptation will require agricultural AI systems capable of continuously updating their disease models to track evolving pathogen populations, new disease strains, and expanding geographic ranges. Continual learning frameworks that enable model updates without catastrophic forgetting of previously learned disease classes represent an important methodological frontier [75, 76].

7. CONCLUSION

This review has comprehensively synthesized over 50 studies on the application of deep learning and digital image processing to crop disease detection, classification, and management. The field has progressed rapidly from early demonstrations of CNN feasibility on controlled laboratory datasets to sophisticated systems incorporating transfer learning, attention mechanisms, Vision Transformers, GANs, object detection, semantic segmentation, hyperspectral imaging, UAV integration, mobile deployment, and explainability frameworks.

Key conclusions emerging from this synthesis are: (1) Transfer learning from ImageNet pre-trained models consistently outperforms training from scratch in low-data agricultural settings; (2) the lab-to-field domain gap remains the primary determinant of practical performance, requiring systematic attention to training data diversity and domain adaptation; (3) lightweight architectures such as MobileNet and EfficientNet offer the best performance-efficiency trade-offs for mobile and edge deployment; (4) attention mechanisms and hybrid CNN-Transformer architectures represent the current state-of-the-art for complex multi-disease classification; and (5) the ethical, social, and regulatory dimensions of agricultural AI deployment deserve substantially greater research attention than they have thus far received.

As global agriculture confronts the compounding challenges of population growth, climate change, and resource constraints, the scalable, accurate, and accessible disease detection systems enabled by deep learning offer a critical tool for sustaining and increasing crop productivity. Realizing this potential requires sustained interdisciplinary collaboration between computer scientists, plant pathologists, agronomists, policymakers, and the farming communities who will ultimately determine whether these technologies fulfill their transformative promise.

REFERENCES

1. FAO. (2021). The State of Food and Agriculture 2021. Making agrifood systems more resilient to shocks and stresses. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. https://doi.org/10.4060/cb4476en
2. Oerke, E. C. (2006). Crop losses to pests. Journal of Agricultural Science, 144(1), 31–43. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859605005708
3. Strange, R. N., & Scott, P. R. (2005). Plant disease: A threat to global food security. Annual Review of Phytopathology, 43, 83–116. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.phyto.43.113004.133839
4. Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I., & Hinton, G. E. (2012). ImageNet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 25, 1097–1105.
5. LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. Nature, 521(7553), 436–444. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14539
6. Mohanty, S. P., Hughes, D. P., & Salathé, M. (2016). Using deep learning for image-based plant disease detection. Frontiers in Plant Science, 7, 1419. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01419
7. Kamilaris, A., & Prenafeta-Boldú, F. X. (2018). Deep learning in agriculture: A survey. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 147, 70–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.02.016
8. Liakos, K. G., Busato, P., Moshou, D., Pearson, S., & Bochtis, D. (2018). Machine learning in agriculture: A review. Sensors, 18(8), 2674. https://doi.org/10.3390/s18082674
9. Lucas, J. A. (2020). Plant Pathology and Plant Pathogens (4th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

10. Agrios, G. N. (2005). Plant Pathology (5th ed.). Academic Press.
11. Savary, S., Willocquet, L., Pethybridge, S. J., Esker, P., McRoberts, N., & Nelson, A. (2019). The global burden of pathogens and pests on major food crops. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 3(3), 430–439. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0793-y
12. Chakraborty, S., & Newton, A. C. (2011). Climate change, plant diseases and food security: An overview. Plant Pathology, 60(1), 2–14. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.2010.02411.x
13. Pethybridge, S. J., & Nelson, S. C. (2015). Leaf doctor: A new portable application for quantifying plant disease severity. Plant Disease, 99(10), 1310–1316. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-03-15-0319-RE
14. Lowe, A., Harrison, N., & French, A. P. (2017). Hyperspectral image analysis techniques for the detection and classification of the early onset of plant disease and stress in the field. Plant Methods, 13, 80. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13007-017-0233-z
15. Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., & Courville, A. (2016). Deep Learning. MIT Press.
16. He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., & Sun, J. (2016). Deep residual learning for image recognition. Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 770–778.
17. Dosovitskiy, A., Beyer, L., Kolesnikov, A., Weissenborn, D., Zhai, X., Unterthiner, T., & Houlsby, N. (2020). An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929.
18. Pan, S. J., & Yang, Q. (2010). A survey on transfer learning. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 22(10), 1345–1359. https://doi.org/10.1109/TKDE.2009.191
19. Sladojevic, S., Arsenovic, M., Anderla, A., Culibrk, D., & Stefanovic, D. (2016). Deep neural networks based recognition of plant diseases by leaf image classification. Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience, 2016, 3289801. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/3289801
20. Barbedo, J. G. A. (2018). Impact of dataset size and variety on the effectiveness of deep learning and transfer learning for plant disease classification. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 153, 46–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.08.013
21. Ferentinos, K. P. (2018). Deep learning models for plant disease detection and diagnosis. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 145, 311–318. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.01.009
22. Sibiya, M., & Sumbwanyambe, M. (2019). A computational procedure for the recognition and classification of maize leaf diseases out of healthy leaves using convolutional neural networks. AgriEngineering, 1(1), 119–131. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriengineering1010009

23. Too, E. C., Yujian, L., Njuki, S., & Yingchun, L. (2019). A comparative study of fine-tuning deep learning models for plant disease identification. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 161, 272–279. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.03.032
24. Geetharamani, G., & Pandian, J. A. (2019). Identification of plant leaf diseases using a nine-layer deep convolutional neural network. Computers & Electrical Engineering, 76, 323–338. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compeleceng.2019.04.011
25. Ramcharan, A., Baranowski, K., McCloskey, P., Ahmed, B., Legg, J., & Hughes, D. P. (2017). Deep learning for image-based cassava disease detection. Frontiers in Plant Science, 8, 1852. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01852
26. Chen, J., Chen, J., Zhang, D., Sun, Y., & Nanehkaran, Y. A. (2020). Using deep transfer learning for image-based plant disease identification. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 173, 105393. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2020.105393
27. Shorten, C., & Khoshgoftaar, T. M. (2019). A survey on image data augmentation for deep learning. Journal of Big Data, 6(1), 60. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-019-0197-0
28. Zhang, X., Qiao, Y., Meng, F., Fan, C., & Zhang, M. (2021). Identification of maize leaf diseases using improved deep convolutional neural networks. IEEE Access, 9, 32982–32994. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3059656
29. Arsenovic, M., Karanovic, M., Sladojevic, S., Anderla, A., & Stefanovic, D. (2019). Solving current limitations of deep learning based approaches for plant disease detection. Symmetry, 11(7), 939. https://doi.org/10.3390/sym11070939
30. Liu, B., Zhang, Y., He, D., & Li, Y. (2020). Identification of apple leaf diseases based on deep convolutional neural networks. Symmetry, 10(1), 11. https://doi.org/10.3390/sym10010011
31. Chen, X., Guo, J., Zhong, H., & Tang, Y. (2021). A channel attention based deep neural network for automatic metallic corrosion detection. Journal of Building Engineering, 42, 103046. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2021.103046
32. Xie, X., Ma, Y., Liu, B., He, J., Li, S., & Wang, H. (2021). A deep-learning-based real-time detector for grape leaf diseases using improved convolutional neural networks. Frontiers in Plant Science, 11, 568–153. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.568153
33. Dosovitskiy, A., et al. (2020). An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929.
34. Jiang, P., Chen, Y., Liu, B., He, D., & Liang, C. (2022). Real-time detection of apple leaf diseases using deep learning approach based on improved convolutional neural networks. IEEE Access, 7, 59069–59080. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2914929
35. Wu, H., Gu, X., & Ye, M. (2022). A hierarchical CNN–Transformer network for disease recognition of maize leaf. Frontiers in Plant Science, 13, 942950. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.942950

36. Huang, Z., Zhao, C., & He, J. (2020). Disease detection of rice-leaves using a modified ResNet with enhanced segmentation. Multimedia Tools and Applications, 79, 21559–21578. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-019-08068-6
37. Sharif, M., Khan, M. A., Iqbal, Z., Azam, M. F., Lali, M. I. U., & Javed, M. Y. (2018). Detection and classification of citrus diseases in agriculture based on optimized weighted segmentation and feature selection. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 150, 220–234. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.04.023
38. Wang, H., Raj, B., & Xing, E. P. (2021). On the origin of deep learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.07800.
39. Mahlein, A. K. (2016). Plant disease detection by imaging sensors—Parallels and specific demands for precision agriculture and plant phenotyping. Plant Disease, 100(2), 241–251. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-03-15-0340-FE
40. Zhang, X., Han, L., Dong, Y., Shi, Y., Huang, W., Han, L., & González-Moreno, P. (2020). A deep learning-based approach for automated yellow rust disease detection from high-resolution hyperspectral UAV images. Remote Sensing, 12(19), 3164. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12193164
41. Nagasubramanian, K., Jones, S., Singh, A. K., Sarkar, S., Singh, A., & Ganapathysubramanian, B. (2019). Plant disease identification using explainable 3D deep learning on hyperspectral images. Plant Methods, 15, 98. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13007-019-0479-8
42. Redmon, J., Divvala, S., Girshick, R., & Farhadi, A. (2016). You only look once: Unified, real-time object detection. Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 779–788.
43. Fuentes, A., Yoon, S., Kim, S. C., & Park, D. S. (2017). A robust deep-learning-based detector for real-time tomato plant diseases and pests recognition. Sensors, 17(9), 2022. https://doi.org/10.3390/s17092022
44. Dong, J., Chen, Z., Jiang, Y., Chen, Y., Li, J., & Peng, J. (2021). Plant disease identification using EfficientNet on a large plant leaf disease dataset. Frontiers in Plant Science, 12, 789203. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.789203
45. Yinka-Banjo, C., & Ajayi, O. (2020). Sky-farmers: Applications of unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV) in agriculture. In Autonomous Vehicles (pp. 107–128). IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.88250
46. Zhang, C., & Kovacs, J. M. (2012). The application of small unmanned aerial systems for precision agriculture: A review. Precision Agriculture, 13(6), 693–712. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-012-9274-5
47. Lu, Y., Yi, S., Zeng, N., Liu, Y., & Zhang, Y. (2017). Identification of rice diseases using deep convolutional neural networks. Neurocomputing, 267, 378–384. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2017.06.023

48. Deng, J., Zheng, L., Sun, Z., & Sun, C. (2022). Automatic detection of plant leaf disease using deep learning. Applied Intelligence, 52(3), 2529–2539. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-021-02528-9
49. Howard, A. G., Zhu, M., Chen, B., Kalenichenko, D., Wang, W., Weyand, T., & Adam, H. (2017). MobileNets: Efficient convolutional neural networks for mobile vision applications. arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.04861.
50. Picon, A., Alvarez-Gila, A., Seitz, M., Ortiz-Barredo, A., Echazarra, J., & Johannes, A. (2019). Deep convolutional neural networks for mobile capture device-based crop disease classification in the wild. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 161, 280–290. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.04.002
51. Kamilaris, A., & Prenafeta-Boldú, F. X. (2018). A review of the use of convolutional neural networks in agriculture. Journal of Agricultural Science, 156(3), 312–322. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859618000436
52. Waheed, A., Goyal, M., Gupta, D., Khanna, A., Al-Turjman, F., & Pinheiro, P. R. (2020). An optimized dense convolutional neural network model for disease recognition and classification in corn leaf. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 175, 105456. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2020.105456
53. Farooq, M. S., Riaz, S., Abid, A., Abid, K., & Naeem, M. A. (2019). A survey on the role of IoT in agriculture for the implementation of smart farming. IEEE Access, 7, 156237–156271. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2949703
54. Kamilaris, A., Kartakoullis, A., & Prenafeta-Boldú, F. X. (2019). A review on the practice of big data analysis in agriculture. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 143, 23–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2017.09.037
55. Balducci, F., Impedovo, D., & Pirlo, G. (2018). Machine learning applications on agricultural datasets for smart farm enhancement. Machines, 6(3), 38. https://doi.org/10.3390/machines6030038
56. Rudin, C. (2019). Stop explaining black box machine learning models for high stakes decisions and use interpretable models instead. Nature Machine Intelligence, 1(5), 206–215. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0048-
57. Selvaraju, R. R., Cogswell, M., Das, A., Vedantam, R., Parikh, D., & Batra, D. (2017). Grad-CAM: Visual explanations from deep networks via gradient-based localization. Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), 618–626.
58. Moshou, D., Bravo, C., West, J., Wahlen, S., McCartney, A., & Ramon, H. (2014). Automatic detection of yellow rust in wheat using reflectance measurements and neural networks. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 44(3), 173–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2004.04.003
59. Arrieta, A. B., Díaz-Rodríguez, N., Del Ser, J., Bennetot, A., Tabik, S., Barbado, A., & Herrera, F. (2020). Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI): Concepts, taxonomies,

opportunities and challenges toward responsible AI. Information Fusion, 58, 82–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2019.12.012

60. McMahan, B., Moore, E., Ramage, D., Hampson, S., & Agüera y Arcas, B. (2017). Communication-efficient learning of deep networks from decentralized data. Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics (AISTATS), 1273–1282.
61. Durrant, A., Markovic, M., Matthews, D., Johnston, D., Foster, J., & Leontidis, G. (2021). The role of cross-silo federated learning in facilitating data sharing in the agri-food sector. arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.10131.
62. Rieke, N., Hancox, J., Li, W., Milletari, F., Roth, H. R., Albarqouni, S., & Cardoso, M. J. (2020). The future of digital health with federated learning. npj Digital Medicine, 3, 119. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-020-00323-1
63. Wang, G., Sun, Y., & Wang, J. (2020). Automatic image-based plant disease severity estimation using deep learning. Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience, 2020, 2919856. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/2919856
64. Rangarajan, A. K., Purushothaman, R., & Ramesh, A. (2018). Tomato crop disease classification using pre-trained deep learning algorithm. Procedia Computer Science, 133, 1040–1047. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2018.07.070
65. Zhang, S., Zhang, S., Zhang, C., Wang, X., & Shi, Y. (2019). Cucumber leaf disease identification with global pooling dilated convolutional neural network. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 162, 422–430. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2019.03.01
66. Wang, X., Huang, Q., Tang, J., & Zhong, P. (2021). Multi-scale densely connected convolutional neural networks for plant diseases identification and classification. Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing, 13, 5045–5058. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12652-021-03464-x
67. Van Engelen, J. E., & Hoos, H. H. (2020). A survey on semi-supervised learning. Machine Learning, 109(2), 373–440. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10994-019-05855-6
68. Liu, X., Zhang, F., Hou, Z., Wang, Z., Mian, L., Zhang, J., & Tang, J. (2021). Self-supervised learning: Generative or contrastive. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering. https://doi.org/10.1109/TKDE.2021.3090866
69. Johannes, A., Picon, A., Alvarez-Gila, A., Echazarra, J., Rodriguez-Vaamonde, S., Navajas, A. D., & Ortiz-Barredo, A. (2017). Automatic plant disease diagnosis using mobile capture devices, applied on a wheat use case. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 138, 200–209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2017.04.013
70. Kamilaris, A., Fonts, A., & Prenafeta-Boldú, F. X. (2019). The rise of blockchain technology in agriculture and food supply chains. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 91, 640–652. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.07.034

71. van der Wal, R., Sharma, N., Mellish, C., Robinson, A., & Siddharthan, A. (2016). The role of automated feedback in training and retaining biological recorders for citizen science. Conservation Biology, 30(3), 550–561. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12705
72. Hughes, D. P., & Salathé, M. (2015). An open access repository of images on plant health to enable the development of mobile disease diagnostics. arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.08060.
73. Ryan, M., & Stahl, B. C. (2020). Artificial intelligence ethics guidelines for developers and users: Clarifying their content and normative implications. Journal of Information, Communication and Ethics in Society, 19(1), 61–86. https://doi.org/10.1108/JICES-12-2019-0138
74. Klerkx, L., Jakku, E., & Labarthe, P. (2019). A review of social science on digital agriculture, smart farming, and agriculture 4.0. NJAS - Wageningen Journal of Life Sciences, 90–91, 100315. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.njas.2019.100315
75. Chakraborty, S., & Newton, A. C. (2011). Climate change, plant diseases and food security: An overview. Plant Pathology, 60(1), 2–14. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.2010.02411.x
76. Bebber, D. P., Ramotowski, M. A. T., & Gurr, S. J. (2013). Crop pests and pathogens move polewards in a warming world. Nature Climate Change, 3(11), 985–988. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1990
77. Feurer, M., & Hutter, F. (2019). Hyperparameter optimization. In Automated Machine Learning (pp. 3–33). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-05318-5_1
78. Kirillov, A., Mintun, E., Ravi, N., Mao, H., Rolland, C., Gustafson, L., & Girshick, R. (2023). Segment anything. Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), 4015–4026.