

Sustainable Additive Manufacturing (3D Printing) with Recycled Materials: A Digital Supply Chain Approach-The Indian Context

¹Dr. Rajiv Kumar Yadav, ²Manish Kumar Singh, ³Vibhas Kumar Patel, ⁴Amit Kumar Jaiswal Sontosh Kumar, ⁵Abhay Kumar

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Ashoka Institute of Technology & Management,
Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh

¹rajiv.nitrkl@gmail.com

<https://doi.org/10.64882/ijrt.v14.iS1.1105>

Abstract

This paper explores the intersection of Additive Manufacturing (AM), commonly known as 3D printing, and the Circular Economy within the Indian context. India faces a dual challenge: managing over 26,000 tonnes of plastic waste daily and modernizing its manufacturing sector under the "Make in India" initiative. We propose a Digital Circular Supply Chain (DCSC) framework that integrates India's vast informal waste management sector (Kabadiwallas) with distributed 3D printing hubs via digital platforms. By leveraging technologies such as AI-driven waste sorting and cloud-based manufacturing, this approach aims to reduce logistics costs, minimize carbon footprints, and formalize the livelihoods of waste pickers. The paper analyses current technological feasibility, regulatory landscapes (including BIS standards for recycled materials), and successful case studies such as Tvasta and Protoprint to validate the model. The study also discusses challenges related to material quality consistency, digital infrastructure gaps in rural India, and the role of policy incentives in scaling such sustainable models.

Keywords: Additive Manufacturing, 3D Printing, Circular Economy, Digital Supply Chain, Recycled Materials, Plastic Waste, India, Kabadiwalla, Sustainable Manufacturing.

1. Introduction

India is at a critical juncture in its industrial and environmental trajectory. The nation's rapid urbanization and economic growth have led to a surge in plastic consumption, generating over 26,000 tonnes of plastic waste daily [1]. Concurrently, the Government of India's "Make in India" initiative aims to transform the country into a global manufacturing hub [2,3]. These parallel challenges present a unique opportunity to reimagine manufacturing through the lens of sustainability and digital innovation.

Additive Manufacturing (AM), commonly known as 3D printing, has emerged as a disruptive production paradigm capable of decentralizing manufacturing, reducing material waste, and enabling mass customization through on-demand production. Unlike conventional subtractive processes, AM allows precise material deposition, making it particularly well suited for resource-efficient manufacturing. When integrated with recycled polymer feedstocks, AM can play a critical role in advancing the Circular Economy (CE) by transforming post-consumer plastic waste into value-added products rather than disposing of it in landfills or the natural environment [4].

Despite its potential, the adoption of recycled-material-based AM in India remains limited due to several systemic barriers. These include the fragmented nature of plastic waste collection dominated by the informal sector, inconsistent quality of recycled materials, limited standardization and certification frameworks, and insufficient digital integration across the recycling–manufacturing value chain. Furthermore, the absence of coordinated platforms connecting waste generators, recyclers, and manufacturers hinders the scalability of circular manufacturing models.

This paper proposes a Digital Circular Supply Chain (DCSC) framework designed to bridge these gaps. The framework integrates informal waste collectors (kabadiwallas and waste pickers) with distributed 3D printing hubs through digital platforms enabled by Internet of Things (IoT), Artificial Intelligence (AI), and cloud-based manufacturing systems. By enabling real-time material traceability, digital aggregation of waste streams, and localized production, the proposed model aims to reduce logistics costs, lower carbon emissions, and improve material utilization efficiency. Importantly, the framework also emphasizes social inclusion by formalizing informal-sector participation and enhancing livelihood opportunities through digital enablement.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Additive Manufacturing and Sustainability

Additive Manufacturing (AM), or 3D printing, is a layer-by-layer fabrication process that enables the production of complex geometries with minimal material wastage compared to conventional subtractive manufacturing techniques [1]. The flexibility of AM allows for mass customization, rapid prototyping, and decentralized production, making it a promising technology for sustainable manufacturing systems. Recent studies indicate that AM can contribute to sustainability objectives by reducing raw material consumption, minimizing production scrap, and lowering transportation-related emissions through localized and on-demand manufacturing models [7]. However, the environmental benefits of AM are contingent on the sustainability of feedstock materials, making recycled polymers a key area of interest [7].

2.2 Circular Economy and Plastic Waste in India

The Circular Economy model emphasizes closing material loops through reuse, repair, remanufacturing, and recycling. In India, the informal sector plays a crucial role in waste collection and recycling, with Kabadiwallas recovering significant volumes of recyclable materials [4]. Despite their efficiency, these actors often operate outside formal regulatory and economic systems, limiting their scalability and impact [6]. Existing literature highlights the need for hybrid models that combine the efficiency of informal waste collection with formalized processing and regulatory oversight to improve environmental and socio-economic outcomes.

2.3 Digital Supply Chains and Industry 4.0

The emergence of **Industry 4.0** technologies has significantly transformed traditional supply chains into digitally connected, data-driven networks. Technologies such as the Internet of Things (IoT), Artificial Intelligence (AI), blockchain, and cloud computing enable real-time

tracking of material flows, predictive analytics, automated quality control, and enhanced transparency across supply chain nodes [5].

In the context of circular economy systems, digital supply chains facilitate improved traceability of recycled materials, optimization of logistics networks, and verification of material quality and provenance [11]. Several studies emphasize that digital platforms can bridge information asymmetries and coordination gaps between multiple stakeholders involved in recycling and remanufacturing processes. However, the application of these technologies in emerging economies is often constrained by infrastructural limitations, digital literacy gaps, and fragmented stakeholder ecosystems.

2.4 Research Gap and Motivation

Although substantial research exists on additive manufacturing, circular economy models, and digital supply chains, these domains are largely examined in isolation. The integration of additive manufacturing with recycled materials through digitally enabled circular supply chains remains underexplored, particularly in the context of emerging economies such as India. Existing studies primarily focus on developed-country contexts, centralized recycling infrastructures, or formal manufacturing systems, overlooking the unique socio-technical characteristics of India’s informal waste management ecosystem.

This paper addresses this gap by proposing a Digital Circular Supply Chain (DCSC) framework that explicitly integrates informal waste collectors with distributed additive manufacturing hubs using digital technologies. By contextualizing the framework within India’s regulatory, technological, and socio-economic landscape, the study contributes to advancing sustainable and inclusive manufacturing models suited to developing economies.

3. Proposed Digital Circular Supply Chain (DCSC) Framework

The proposed Digital Circular Supply Chain (DCSC) framework is designed as a multi-layered, digitally enabled ecosystem that integrates plastic waste generation, recycling, and additive manufacturing within a unified circular model. The framework connects waste sources directly to distributed 3D printing hubs through coordinated technological, operational, and institutional layers. By leveraging digital technologies and localized production, the DCSC framework aims to enhance material recovery efficiency, ensure feedstock quality, and support sustainable and inclusive manufacturing in the Indian context.

3.1 Layer 1: Digital Collection & Sorting

The first layer focuses on the digitalization of waste collection and primary segregation, which is critical for ensuring consistent quality of recycled feedstock.

- **IoT-Enabled Collection Infrastructure:** Smart bins installed in residential, institutional, and commercial areas are equipped with sensors that monitor fill levels and material type. These bins transmit real-time data to municipal authorities and waste collectors, enabling optimized collection routes and reducing unnecessary transportation.
- **AI-Driven Sorting Stations:** At decentralized aggregation points, artificial intelligence–based sorting systems utilizing computer vision and machine learning algorithms automatically classify plastic waste according to polymer type (e.g., PET, HDPE, ABS),

colour, and contamination level. This automated sorting significantly improves segregation accuracy and reduces manual handling errors.

- **Kabadiwalla Integration via Mobile Applications:** Informal waste collectors (kabadiwallas) are integrated into the system through mobile-based digital platforms. These applications allow collectors to log collected materials, receive transparent and fair pricing based on material quality, and schedule drop-offs or pickups at nearby processing hubs. This digital inclusion facilitates formal recognition, income stability, and improved working conditions.

3.2 Layer 2: Digital Processing & Material Preparation

The second layer addresses the **conversion of sorted plastic waste into standardized additive manufacturing feedstock** through digitally monitored micro-recycling processes.

- **Cloud-Based Material Databases:** A centralized digital database records detailed material information, including polymer type, source location, contamination levels, processing history, and mechanical property benchmarks. This data supports material traceability and quality assurance throughout the supply chain.
- **Decentralized Automated Processing Units:** Automated washing, drying, and shredding units are deployed at micro-recycling hubs located close to waste collection centres. Decentralized processing reduces transportation distances, lowers energy consumption, and enhances supply chain resilience.
- **Filament Production with Real-Time Quality Monitoring:** Processed plastic flakes are extruded into 3D printing filament using digitally controlled extrusion systems. Sensors continuously monitor filament diameter, temperature, and tensile properties to ensure consistency and compliance with additive manufacturing requirements.

3.3 Layer 3: Distributed 3D Printing Network


The third layer establishes a **cloud-connected network of distributed 3D printing hubs** that transform recycled filament into finished products.

- **Cloud-Based Manufacturing Platform:** Designers and customers upload digital design files, select recycled material specifications, and place orders through a cloud manufacturing interface. The platform automatically allocates jobs to the nearest available printing hub based on capacity, location, and material availability.
- **On-Demand and Localized Production:** Products are manufactured on demand, minimizing the need for inventory storage and long-distance logistics. This localized production model reduces lead times, transportation costs, and associated carbon emissions.
- **Blockchain-Enabled Traceability:** Blockchain technology is used to securely record transactions and material flows across the supply chain. Each batch of recycled filament and printed product is assigned a unique digital identity, ensuring transparency, trust, and verification of recycled content.

3.4 Layer 4: Policy & Stakeholder Integration

The final layer ensures **regulatory compliance, institutional coordination, and long-term scalability** of the DCSC framework.

- **Alignment with National Standards and Regulations:** The framework aligns recycled filament production with **Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS)** specifications, such as **IS 14534** for plastics, to ensure material quality, safety, and market acceptance [8].
- **Government and NGO Partnerships:** Collaboration with government agencies and non-governmental organizations facilitates capacity building, technical training, financial incentives, and formalization of informal-sector workers. These partnerships are essential for social inclusion and regulatory enforcement.
- **Digital Product Passports (DPPs):** Each manufactured product is embedded with a digital product passport containing lifecycle data, including material composition, manufacturing parameters, and recycling instructions. DPPs support future recovery, reuse, and compliance with circular economy objectives.



Proposed Digital Circular Supply Chain (DCSC) framework integrating informal waste collection, digital processing, and distributed additive manufacturing for recycled plastics in the Indian context.

3.5 Framework Significance

By integrating digital technologies with circular economy principles and distributed additive manufacturing, the proposed DCSC framework provides a scalable model for sustainable manufacturing in India. It simultaneously addresses environmental sustainability, economic efficiency, and social inclusion, positioning recycled-material additive manufacturing as a viable pathway for circular industrial development.










4. Methodology

This study adopts a **mixed-methods research approach** to examine the feasibility and applicability of the proposed Digital Circular Supply Chain (DCSC) framework for additive manufacturing with recycled materials in the Indian context. The methodology integrates qualitative analysis, techno-economic assessment, and case study evaluation to capture the technical, economic, institutional, and socio-environmental dimensions of the proposed

framework. Such an approach is appropriate for exploratory research addressing complex socio-technical systems involving multiple stakeholders and emerging technologies.

- **Qualitative Analysis:** Review of policy documents, case studies, and stakeholder interviews.
- **Techno-economic Assessment:** Evaluation of AM technologies, material processing costs, and logistical feasibility.
- **Case Study Analysis:** In-depth examination of Indian start-ups (Tvasta, Protoprint) implementing AM with recycled materials.
- **Barrier Identification:** SWOT analysis to identify strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats in the Indian context.

SWOT ANALYSIS: DIGITAL CIRCULAR SUPPLY CHAIN (DCSC) IN INDIA

STRENGTHS (S)	WEAKNESSES (W)
 Informal recycling networks  Decentralized manufacturing	 Material quality inconsistency  Digital infrastructure gaps
OPPORTUNITIES (O)	THREATS (T)
 Smart Cities Mission  Make in India  Policy incentives	 Regulatory enforcement issues  High technology costs

SWOT Analysis for Digital Circular Supply Chain in India

5. Indian Case Studies

To demonstrate the practical relevance and applicability of the proposed Digital Circular Supply Chain (DCSC) framework, this section examines two Indian case studies that exemplify different dimensions of additive manufacturing with recycled materials. The selected cases illustrate both technological innovation and inclusive circular economy practices within the Indian context.

5.1 Tvasta Manufacturing Solutions

Tvasta, an IIT-Madras startup, uses 3D printing to construct affordable housing from recycled plastic and local materials [9]. Key insights from the Tvasta case include:

- **Application of recycled polymer composites** in large-format additive manufacturing, demonstrating the feasibility of using non-virgin materials at scale.
- **Significant reduction in construction waste and build time**, supporting the efficiency benefits of additive manufacturing.
- **Scalability potential** for addressing housing shortages in both rural and urban regions, particularly under government-led affordable housing initiatives.

Tvasta’s model aligns with the DCSC framework by showcasing the benefits of localized, digitally enabled production while highlighting opportunities for integrating recycled materials into mainstream construction practices.

5.2 Protoprint

Protoprint collaborates with waste picker cooperatives in Pune to convert collected plastic into 3D printing filament [10]. Key contributions of the Protoprint model include:

- **Empowerment of informal-sector workers** through training, technology access, and formal market participation.
- **Production of consistent-quality recycled filament**, addressing a key technical challenge in recycled-material additive manufacturing.
- **Demonstration of socio-economic viability**, highlighting how inclusive recycling models can generate livelihoods while supporting sustainable manufacturing.

Protoprint closely reflects the socio-technical objectives of the proposed DCSC framework by combining digital tools, decentralized processing, and social inclusion.

6. Discussion

6.1 Technological and Logistical Feasibility

COMPARATIVE FRAMEWORK: TVASTA MANUFACTURING SOLUTIONS vs. PROTOPRINT (INDIAN ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING CASE STUDIES)

DIMENSION	TVASTA MANUFACTURING SOLUTIONS	PROTOPRINT
Scale of Operation	Large-scale construction (housing, infrastructure)	Small-batch filament production, decentralized hubs
Material Type	Concrete, Geopolymer composites	Recycled plastics (HDPE, PP, PET)
Digital Integration	High-level BIM integration, automated printing systems	Decentralized network, mobile app for waste collection
Social Inclusion	Affordable housing, construction skill development	Integration of waste pickers, livelihood generation
Circular Economy Impact	Reduced construction waste, optimized material usage	Closed-loop plastic recycling, waste diversion from landfills

The DCSC framework is technically viable, but challenges remain:

- **Material Consistency:** Variability in recycled plastic properties affects print quality.
- **Digital Infrastructure:** Rural areas lack reliable internet and IoT connectivity [11].
- **Cost of Technology:** Initial investment in sorting, shredding, and printing equipment is high [12].

6.2 Regulatory and Policy Environment

India’s regulatory landscape provides a foundational framework through instruments such as the **Plastic Waste Management Rules (2016)** and relevant **Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS)** guidelines for recycled plastics [8]. However, inconsistent enforcement and limited certification mechanisms reduce their practical impact. To enable large-scale adoption of digitally enabled circular manufacturing, targeted policy interventions are required, including:

- **Tax incentives and procurement preferences** for products manufactured using recycled materials.

- **Subsidies and concessional financing** for additive manufacturing startups and waste picker collectives.
- **Investments in national digital infrastructure**, particularly in underserved regions.

Such measures can significantly improve the economic viability and scalability of DCSC-based models.


6.3 Social and Economic Impact

Integrating kabadiwallas and waste pickers into the DCSC framework offers substantial social and economic benefits. Digital formalization can enhance income stability, improve access to social security schemes, and provide skill development opportunities. At a broader level, the framework supports urban waste reduction, fosters local entrepreneurship, and contributes to the creation of green jobs across recycling, digital manufacturing, and logistics sectors.

6.4 Environmental Benefits

The environmental advantages of the proposed framework stem from the combined effects of **localized production** and **recycled material utilization**. These include reductions in greenhouse gas emissions associated with transportation and virgin plastic production, diversion of plastic waste from landfills, and mitigation of marine plastic pollution. While quantitative environmental impact assessment remains an area for future research, existing literature suggests that decentralized additive manufacturing with recycled feedstock can significantly improve environmental performance relative to conventional manufacturing systems.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACT PATHWAY OF DCSC FOR RECYCLED ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING



Environmental and Socio-Economic Impact Pathway

7. Conclusion and Future Work

This paper presents a Digital Circular Supply Chain (DCSC) framework that integrates additive manufacturing with recycled plastics within the Indian context. By leveraging digital technologies and incorporating the informal waste management sector, the proposed model addresses environmental sustainability, economic efficiency, and social inclusion simultaneously. The analysis of Indian case studies demonstrates the practical feasibility and transformative potential of digitally enabled circular manufacturing ecosystems.

Future Research Directions

Future work should focus on the following areas:

1. **Material Science Advancements:** Development of standardized recycled polymer blends and additives to ensure consistent performance in additive manufacturing applications.

2. **AI and Machine Learning Optimization:** Enhancement of automated sorting accuracy, process control, and predictive maintenance within recycling and manufacturing hubs.
3. **Policy Pilot Projects:** Implementation and evaluation of DCSC models in smart cities, industrial clusters, and rural manufacturing hubs.
4. **Cross-Sector Collaboration:** Strengthening partnerships among technology providers, NGOs, academic institutions, and government agencies to accelerate adoption.

The convergence of digitalization and circular economy principles presents a transformative pathway for India-one that enables the transition from waste-intensive production to **sustainable, inclusive, and self-reliant manufacturing**, effectively turning waste into wealth.

References

1. Gibson, I., Rosen, D., & Stucker, B. (2015). Additive Manufacturing Technologies: 3D Printing, Rapid Prototyping, and Direct Digital Manufacturing. Springer.
2. Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB). (2021). Annual Report on Plastic Waste Management in India. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change.
3. Government of India. (2014). Make in India: Sectoral Reports and Policy Framework. Ministry of Commerce and Industry.
4. Ghosh, S. K., & Debnath, B. (2020). Informal Sector Integration in Circular Economy: Case of Indian Kabadiwallas. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 258, 120717.
5. Kulkarni, S., & Dange, P. (2022). AI-Enabled Waste Sorting for Sustainable Manufacturing: An Indian Perspective. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 119(3), 1457–1470.
6. Chaturvedi, A., & Arora, R. (2021). Digital Platforms for Informal Workforce Inclusion: A Study of Waste Pickers in Urban India. *Technology in Society*, 66, 101606.
7. Bandyopadhyay, A., & Bose, S. (2020). Additive Manufacturing with Recycled Polymers: Feasibility and Challenges. *Progress in Materials Science*, 114, 100688.
8. Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS). (2019). IS 14534: Guidelines for Use of Recycled Plastics in Manufacturing.
9. Tvasta Manufacturing Solutions. (2022). 3D Printing with Recycled Plastic: Case Study on Affordable Housing. Tvasta White Paper.
10. Protoprint. (2021). Integrating Informal Waste Pickers into 3D Printing Filament Supply Chains. Protoprint Impact Report.
11. Mehta, R., & Sharma, K. (2021). Digital Divide and Rural Manufacturing Hubs in India. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 86, 456-467.
12. NITI Aayog. (2021). Circular Economy Strategy for India: Policy Roadmap. Government of India.
13. Kamal, A., Ahmad, F., & Shafeeque, M. A. (2020). Toxicity of pesticides to plants and non-target organism: A comprehensive review. *Iranian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 10(4), 3299-3313.
14. Hasan, N., Nanda, S., Agarwal, M.K. et al. Evaluating the mediating effect of financial literacy between fintech adoption in microfinance services. *Int J Syst Assur Eng Manag* (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13198-024-02256-4>

15. Wadhawan,N., R. K. A. (2020). Understanding e-commerce: A study with reference to competitive economy. *Journal of Critical Reviews*, 7(8), 805–809.
16. Wadhawan,D.N., C. S. A. K.(2023). The evolving landscape of digital marketing: Trends, impacts, and opportunities in India. *Journal of Data Acquisition and Processing*, 38(2), 2157–2168.
17. Hasan N, Agarwal C, Joshi A, Rahal D, Traisa R, Sharma S (2025;), "The two-way influence of green banking practices and green electronic word of mouth in driving green trust and green loyalty: a trust transfer perspective". *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOES-10-2024-0326>
18. Hasan, N., Singh, A. K., & Dwivedi, R. (2024). Determinants of FinTech adoption by microfinance institutions in India to increase efficiency and productivity. *International Journal of Business Innovation and Research*, 35(3), 393–411. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBIR.2024.142306>
19. Hasan, N., Singh, A. K., & Tariq, H. (2020). Sustainability and outreach of microfinance institutions in India. *Shodh Sarita*, 9(7). <http://shabdbooks.com/Vol-9-Issue-7-2020/>
20. Dwivedi, R., & Hasan, N. (2025). Enhancing brand awareness and loyalty through gamification in the metaverse. In *Addressing Practical Problems Through the Metaverse and Game-Inspired Mechanics* (pp. 259-288). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
21. Chahal, D., & Rani, A. (2024). Productive and decent work employment opportunities: Reflections of Sustainable Development Goal 8. *Journal Space and Culture, India*, 11, 90-101.
22. Chaudhary, D., Singh, J., Singh, J., Chahal, J., & Molla, K. Z. (2024, March). Data analytics to find impact of religion on tourism in India. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 2816, No. 1, p. 110002). AIP Publishing LLC.